An Analysis of Customer Satisfaction in India's Public and Private Sector Banking Services

Mr. N. B. Devkate[1] & Dr. S. G. Bhombe[2]

[1]*Research Scholar, DSM's Arts, Commerce, and Science College, Parbhani.*
[2]*Principal and Research Guide, DSM's Arts, Commerce, and Science College, Jintur, Dist. Parbhani.*
Corresponding Author - Mr. N. B. Devkate

Abstract:

This study examines customer satisfaction in Indian banks, both public and private, pointing out significant distinctions and parallels. It looks at things like CRM, implementation of technology, service quality, and accessibility using the "SERVQUAL model" along with other frameworks. The report offers banks practical insights to improve consumer satisfaction & competitiveness, based on data gathered from 100 respondents.

Introduction:

The Indian banking industry is separated into two categories: profit-driven and competitive banks in the private sector and banks in the public sector, which are frequently state-owned. Knowing customer satisfaction is essential for both industries to preserve market share and boost operational effectiveness in an environment that is increasingly digital. This study compares the levels of customer satisfaction in banks in the private and public sectors and investigates the factors that affect these levels.

Objectives of the Study:

1. To gauge how satisfied consumers are with both public and private banks.
2. To examine how CRM, technology, and service quality affect customer happiness.
3. To offer strategic suggestions to improve customer satisfaction in the banking industry.

Literature Review:

In the banking industry, customer happiness is essential to client loyalty, retention, and total profitability. According to research, happy consumers are more willing to suggest banks, which improve their standing.

Public sector banks are well-known in rural & semi-urban regions for their dependability, credibility, and reach. They are criticized, meanwhile, for their insufficient technology and sluggish procedures. Private sector banks are well-known for their cutting-edge technology, excellent customer service, and urban-focused activities. They are thought to be less accessible in isolated locations, though.

The "SERVQUAL model" which assesses service quality by considering tangibles, assurance, responsiveness, empathy, and dependability, serves as the foundation for this study.

Research Methodology:

- **Design of Research:** In order to comprehend consumer satisfaction patterns and pinpoint areas for development, the study uses an exploratory and descriptive methodology.

- **Population:** The sample population consists of Indian public and private bank customers.

- **Sample Size:** Study involves 100 responders (fifty from public and fifty from private banks).

- **Sampling Technique:** To guarantee representation from rural, semi-urban and urban regions Convenience sampling was used as the sample technique.

- **Collection of Data:**
  Primary Data: Gathered via interviews and formal questionnaires.

Secondary Data: Sources include scholarly journals, RBI publications, and bank reports.

Analysis of Data:

The research uses inferential techniques (ANOVA, regression) to examine correlations and test hypotheses, and descriptive statistics (mean, median, standard deviation) to describe data. Key elements affecting consumer satisfaction are found through factor analysis.

Analyzing Descriptively:

- **Demographics:** 57% of respondents were from urban areas (mainly Private banks); 61% were from rural areas (primarily public banks).

- **Age:** The majority (44%) are between the ages of 30 and 45.

- **Use of Banking Services**: 48% use online banking, which is more common in private banks.

- **Bank Branch Visit**: 20% of branches (mainly public banks) are visited.

Comparison of Service Quality by using SERVQUAL Model:

Dimension	Public Sector Banks	Private Sector Banks
Empathy	3.5	4.3
Assurance	3.7	4.8
Tangibles	3.1	4.4
Responsiveness	3.2	4.5
Reliability	4.5	4.3

- **Insight:** Public banks are the most reliable, whereas private banks are the best in terms of tangible and responsiveness.

- **Adoption of Technology:** 81% of respondents evaluated private banks as "excellent," and they offer cutting-edge digital services. 59% of respondents said public banks were "good," although adoption was slower in rural regions.
- **Convenience and Accessibility:** Due to branch availability, 88% of rural respondents preferred public banks. 76% of urban respondents preferred private banks due to their digital ease.
- **Relationship Management for Customers (CRM):** 71% of private banks commended customized CRM procedures. 49% of public banks said CRM was antiquated and unresponsive.

Findings of the Study:

- **Quality of Service:** Public banks do well in terms of dependability but fall short in terms of responsiveness & empathy. Private Banks are superior in terms of assurance and tangibles (such as digital tools, ATMs, and infrastructure).
- **Adoption of Technology:** Digital wallets and sophisticated mobile banking apps are mostly offered by private banks. Despite infrastructure issues in remote locations, public banks are enhancing their digital offerings.
- **Relationship Management for Customers:** Data analytics are used by private banks to provide individualized services. Public banks prioritize long-term trust and use conventional approaches.
- **Convenience and Accessibility:** With extensive branch networks, public banks are the most prevalent in rural areas. Although they are mostly found in cities, private banks provide exceptional convenience through internet channels.

Key Findings:

1. Private Banks provide superior CRM, technology, and service quality.
2. Public Banks rule rural regions because they are trustworthy and easily accessible.
3. Private Banks should reach more people in rural areas; public banks need to be updated.

Overall Customer Satisfaction:

Because of their innovative and high-quality services, private sector banks have greater customer satisfaction ratings. Nonetheless, public banks enjoy a devoted clientele, particularly in rural areas.

Conclusions:

According to the survey, public banks continue to be essential for "financial inclusion" in rural India, even while private banks dominate in customer satisfaction because of superior technology and service quality. To fully improve consumer satisfaction and happiness, both industries must solve certain issues.

Recommendations:

a. For Banks in the Public Sector:

1. Make an investment in modernizing digital infrastructure.
2. Simplify procedures to cut down on wait times for customers.
3. Teach staff to provide customer-focused service.

b. For Banks in the Private Sector:

1. Increase the number of branches in rural regions.
2. To draw in price-conscious clients, provide competitive interest rates.
3. Pay attention to financial services that are comprehensive.

c. Regarding Both Sectors:

1. Use big data analytics to gain a deeper understanding of client demands.
2. Make sure that service delivery is consistent across all channels.
3. Work together with FinTech companies to develop cutting-edge banking solutions.

References:

1. The Reserve Bank of India: Reports.
2. Scholarly publications on banking customer satisfaction.
3. Government reports on India's banking sector reforms.
4. Berry, L. L., Zeithaml, V. A., and Parasuraman, A. (1988). SERVQUAL model.