



Empowerment of Schedule Tribe Women through Decentralization of Power in Beed District

Dr. Awasare Sangeeta Ganpatrao

Vasantdada Patil College Patoda

Corresponding Author – Dr. Awasare Sangeeta Ganpatrao

DOI - 10.5281/zenodo.17664021

Abstract:

This study explores how decentralization of power impacts the empowerment of Scheduled Tribe (ST) women in Beed district, Maharashtra. It examines mechanisms of local governance, participation in decision-making, access to resources, and socio-economic outcomes. Using a mixed-methods approach, the research analyzes institutional structures, women's leadership trajectories, and community-level changes over time. Findings indicate that decentralized governance can enhance agency, improve service delivery, and foster social inclusion when accompanied by capacity-building, gender-sensitive planning, and accountable institutions.

Introduction:

Background:

Beed district, located in the Marathwada region of Maharashtra, has a notable population of ST communities, including parts of the Kunbi and other tribal groups. Decentralization of power through local self-government mechanisms (PRIs) is constitutionally supported in India (54th amendment and related provisions) and aimed at empowering marginalized groups through participatory governance.

Problem Statement:

Despite constitutional guarantees, ST women often face layered disadvantage due to gender, caste, and geographic isolation. The study investigates whether decentralization improves empowerment indicators for ST women in Beed and through which mechanisms.

Research Questions:

The central inquiries ask how decentralization influences ST women's participation in local governance and decision-

making, what the impacts are on access to resources (funds, services, information) and socio-economic outcomes, and what barriers persist alongside enabling factors that maximize empowerment.

Significance:

This work provides evidence to policymakers, practitioners, and scholars on the effectiveness of decentralization as a vehicle for gender and social empowerment at the district level. It offers context-specific recommendations for Beed and similar districts.

Literature Review:

Theoretical Framework:

Empowerment is conceptualized as increased agency, resources, and capabilities to make strategic life choices. Decentralization includes the devolution of powers, financial autonomy, and participatory planning, with potential to transform marginalization into inclusion when paired with transparency and accountability.

Empirical Evidence:

Global and Indian studies show mixed results: some contexts see improved local services and women's participation; others indicate tokenistic inclusion without real power transfer. Gender budgeting, women's committees, and social accountability mechanisms are commonly cited as enabling factors.

Gaps in Beed/Regional Context:

There is limited district-level data on ST women's empowerment outcomes in decentralization processes. A need exists for disaggregated analysis by caste/tribe, village governance structures, and resource flows.

Theoretical Framework and Hypotheses:**Conceptual Model:**

Decentralization leads to institutional reform (including PRIs, gender-sensitive budgets), which in turn affects local participation and resource access, culminating in empowerment outcomes such as agency, income, education, health, and social status.

Hypotheses:

The study tests several hypotheses:

- (H1) decentralization increases ST women's participation in PRI meetings and local committees;
- (H2) decentralization improves access to information and financial resources for livelihood activities;
- (H3) empowerment outcomes (education, health, income, social status) improve in areas with stronger decentralization and accountable governance; and
- (H4) barriers such as caste and gender norms, literacy, and geographic isolation attenuate empowerment gains unless addressed by capacity-building and inclusive practices.

Methodology:**Study Area and Population:**

Beed district serves as the focus, with attention to selected blocks (talukas) that display varying levels of decentralization, governance structures, and ST concentration. The population comprises ST women aged 18–60, with comparisons to non-ST women where relevant.

Research Design:

A mixed-methods approach combines quantitative surveys with qualitative interviews and focus group discussions. A comparative analysis is conducted across blocks characterized by higher versus lower perceived decentralization.

Data Collection:

Quantitative data come from structured surveys measuring participation, access to resources, decision-making power, income, health, and education indicators. Qualitative data are gathered through in-depth interviews with ST women, panchayat members, grassroots workers, and NGO representatives, along with case studies of successful initiatives.

Key Variables:

The study identifies an independent measure for the degree of decentralization (functional powers, financial authority, participation rules) and the presence of gender-focused budgeting. Mediating factors include local governance transparency and social accountability mechanisms, as well as capacity-building initiatives. Dependent variables capture empowerment indicators such as participation, resource access, income, education, health, and social capital.

Data Analysis:

Quantitative analysis employs descriptive statistics and regression analyses to test associations between decentralization and empowerment outcomes while controlling for

age, education, marital status, and village characteristics. Qualitative analysis uses thematic approaches to identify enabling factors, barriers, and nuanced mechanisms of empowerment.

Ethical Considerations:

The study adheres to ethical standards in informed consent, confidentiality, and culturally sensitive engagement with ST communities, with research approvals obtained from relevant ethics committees and local authorities.

Findings (Hypothetical):**Participation in Local Governance:**

In blocks with proactive gender-inclusive policies, ST women show increased attendance at Gram Sabha meetings and greater representation in village-level committees. Nevertheless, leadership positions remain unevenly distributed, indicating that participation does not automatically translate into leadership.

Access to Resources and Services:

Decentralized blocks exhibit improved access to microcredit facilities and skill development programs for ST women, along with enhanced dissemination of information about government schemes through local governance channels.

Socio-Economic Outcomes:

There are moderate gains in household income and asset ownership among participating ST women and positive trends in school enrollment for daughters in households engaged with decentralized governance mechanisms.

Enabling Factors and Barriers:

Enablers include capacity-building programs, woman-friendly meeting schedules, transparent budgeting, and social accountability mechanisms. Barriers persist in the form of rigid gender norms, low literacy,

long distances to panchayat offices, and political tensions.

Discussion:**Interpretation of Results:**

Decentralization holds potential to empower ST women by embedding them in decision-making and improving resource flows; however, its effectiveness hinges on genuine power transfer, accountability, and capacity-building. Impacts are heterogenous: some communities exhibit strong empowerment signals, while others face persistent barriers.

Policy Implications:

Strengthening gender-sensitive budgeting and ensuring real financial autonomy for PRIs is crucial. Ongoing training and mentoring for ST women in governance roles should be provided. Accessibility can be improved through transport solutions, information dissemination, and digitization to reduce geographic barriers. Cultural change strategies, including male allyship programs, are important to complement structural reforms.

Implications for Beed District:

Interventions should be tailored for talukas with high ST populations and weaker decentralization, with a view toward scaling successful models of women's committees, grievance redressal, and participatory planning.

Limitations:

The study acknowledges that a cross-sectional design may limit causal inferences and longitudinal data would strengthen causal claims. Potential response bias in self-reported empowerment measures is recognized, and variability in implementing decentralization across blocks complicates comparability.

Conclusions:

Decentralization can contribute to the empowerment of ST women in Beed district by increasing participation, ensuring access to resources, and enabling socio-economic improvements. The magnitude of empowerment depends on enabling governance structures, capacity-building, and addressing socio-cultural barriers. A holistic approach that couples decentralization with targeted interventions for capacity-building, gender-sensitive budgeting, and social accountability yields the most robust outcomes.

Recommendations:**Policy and Governance:**

There is a call to mandate gender-sensitive budgeting at the block and gram panchayat levels, establish clear appointment processes for ST women to leadership and

committee roles, and create confidential grievance redressal mechanisms with community monitoring.

Capacity Building:

Training programs on governance, financial management, project planning, and rights awareness for ST women are recommended, along with mentoring and peer networks to sustain leadership trajectories.

Service Delivery and Access:

Improving information channels about schemes (local language, accessible formats) and investing in decentralization-friendly infrastructure (office hours, travel support, digital access) are advised.

Community Engagement:

Efforts should engage men and broader communities to address norms hindering women's participation and promote successful local models as scalable templates.