An Analysis of Work Culture in Indian Public Sector Undertakings (PSUs): Challenges and Implications for Performance

Seema Savaleram Thongire[1] & Dr. Sudhir S. Borate[2]

[1]*Research Scholar,*
[2]*Research Guide,*
PDEA's Annasaheb Waghire Arts, Commerce & Science College, Otur
Savitribai Phule Pune University Pune, Maharashtra.
Corresponding Author – Seema Savaleram Thongire

Abstract:

Public Sector Undertakings (PSUs) in India are vital for national development, driving public welfare, employment, and infrastructure growth. Their work culture, marked by high job security, hierarchical structures, and welfare policies, ensures stability but faces challenges like bureaucratic delays, communication gaps, poor managerial practices, and political interference, which hinder agility, innovation, and performance. Despite these, Central Public Sector Enterprises (CPSEs) show financial resilience, significantly contributing to government revenues and capital formation. Qualitative insights from major PSUs highlight the need for structured communication, regular audits, leadership training, and targeted skill development to boost collaboration and efficiency. Reducing political interference and addressing funding constraints—limiting recruitment, research, and global engagement—are critical for a proactive, innovative environment. The study recommends recruiting young talent, fostering openness, empowerment, and adaptability to enhance motivation and performance, ensuring PSUs' sustained contribution to India's economic progress.

Index Terms: Public Sector Undertakings (PSUs), Work Culture, Bureaucracy, Communication, Leadership, Political Interference, Employee Motivation.

Introduction:

Public Sector Undertakings (PSUs) in India have long served as pillars of national development by promoting public welfare, generating employment, and supporting industrial and infrastructural growth. Unlike private enterprises driven primarily by profit motives, PSUs operate under government ownership, where decision-making is influenced by social, political, and developmental priorities. This unique mandate shapes their work culture, characterized by high job security, extensive welfare measures, hierarchical structures, and a strong emphasis on stability and compliance. While these features provide organizational continuity, they also contribute to challenges such as bureaucratic delays, multi-layered hierarchies, communication gaps, limited financial incentives, and political interference, all of which can hinder innovation, responsiveness, and overall performance.

Leadership plays a critical role in navigating these cultural complexities. Effective PSU leaders must balance

technical expertise with behavioural competencies to foster collaboration, empowerment, and adaptability within traditionally mechanistic systems. Although leadership in private organizations has been widely studied, research on leadership and work culture in Indian PSUs remains limited, despite their significant economic and social impact.

Since independence, PSUs have contributed to self-reliance, regional development, and equitable employment. Their work culture blends mechanistic elements—centralization, standardization, specialization—with emerging organic features such as flexibility and responsiveness, especially following reforms in the late 1990s. However, persistent issues such as slow decision-making, resistance to change, and bureaucratic rigidity continue to affect efficiency and performance.

This study addresses the need for a deeper examination of PSU work culture across sectors. Using qualitative insights from senior executives in major PSUs—including ONGC, IOCL, BHEL, and NTPC—the research explores cultural characteristics, leadership expectations, HR priorities, employee motivators, and the impact of cultural challenges on organizational performance. Case examples, such as ONGC's stressful work environment and operational delays, illustrate how entrenched cultural norms can impede agility and innovation. The study aims to provide actionable recommendations to strengthen work culture, enhance employee motivation, and improve performance, ensuring that PSUs remain effective contributors to India's economic progress

Objectives of the Study:
1) To identify major challenges within PSU work culture.
2) To evaluate the changing organizational structure of PSUs.
3) To examine motivational factors influencing employees in public sector employment.
4) To propose strategies for strengthening work culture and HR systems

Challenges in the Work Culture of Indian Public Sector Undertakings (PSUS):

Insights from PSU executives, employees, and secondary literature indicate that work culture in Indian PSUs is shaped by structural, behavioural, and administrative constraints. These challenges influence organizational effectiveness, employee engagement, and overall performance. The major issues fall into six categories: political interference and limited autonomy, rigid HR systems, low employee motivation, communication gaps, personality-related behavioural concerns, and decision-making constraints.

1. Political Interference and Lack of Autonomy:

Political and administrative influence remains a major barrier to effective functioning in PSUs. External directives, political appointees, and limited professional representation restrict managerial autonomy and compromise decision-making. Frequent transfers of senior leaders disrupt continuity and long-term planning, while centralized control and bureaucratic resistance slow innovation and operational flexibility. Enhancing autonomy through transparent

Seema Savaleram Thongire & Dr. Sudhir S. Borate

governance and reduced political intervention is essential for improved performance.

2. Rigid Rules and HR Practices:

PSUs operate under stringent regulations that make HR processes slow and inflexible. Lengthy recruitment cycles, limited training budgets, and uniform performance appraisal systems hinder merit recognition and accountability. Strong job security and union protection further complicate disciplinary action. Additionally, outdated HR policies and restricted talent mobility make it difficult to attract and retain skilled professionals, limiting modernization and competitiveness.

3. Lack of Employee Motivation:

Employee motivation is weakened by seniority-based promotions, limited performance incentives, and strong union influence. While welfare benefits ensure stability, they may reduce initiative and accountability. Excessive unionism and political affiliations create operational hurdles for managers. Building a performance-oriented culture requires improved recognition systems, non-monetary incentives, and efforts to align workforce capabilities with organizational needs.

4. Communication Gaps:

Communication in PSUs is predominantly top-down, with limited horizontal interaction or employee feedback. This restricts transparency, slows coordination, and increases the likelihood of misunderstandings. Effective communication with government bodies and unions requires clarity and diplomacy. Strengthening communication skills and promoting two-way dialogue are essential

for improving trust, cohesion, and decision-making.

5. Personality and Behavioural Challenges:

Leadership effectiveness depends on personal attributes such as integrity, initiative, and emotional intelligence. However, behavioural gaps—resistance to feedback, low motivation, or limited adaptability—can weaken team morale and hinder organizational development. Hierarchical structures often discourage open dialogue and self-reflection. Developing positive leadership traits and fostering a mission-oriented mindset are crucial for inspiring teams.

6. Decision-Making Constraints:

Decision-making is often slow due to hierarchical layers, procedural delays, and fear of audits or political scrutiny. This risk-averse environment limits agility and innovation. Empowering middle-level managers and decentralizing authority can improve operational efficiency. Leaders must make timely, well-considered decisions while balancing risk with public accountability to ensure long-term organizational sustainability.

From Centralization to Hybrid Governance: The Changing Organizational Structure of PSUS:

Indian Public Sector Undertakings (PSUs) have historically operated under a centralized and bureaucratic organizational structure, characterized by tall hierarchies, standardized procedures, and strong administrative control. This structure ensured accountability and uniformity across large, geographically dispersed operations. However, since the late 1990s, economic

Seema Savaleram Thongire & Dr. Sudhir S. Borate

reforms, competitive pressures, and the need for operational agility have encouraged PSUs to gradually adopt a more decentralized and hybrid organizational approach. While the head office continues to handle strategic functions such as policy formulation, financial oversight, and corporate planning, regional and local units are given the authority to manage day-to-day operations. This allows them to adjust their services, marketing strategies, and operational decisions based on local market conditions and customer requirements.

This creates a hybrid structure, where centralized functions ensure uniformity and control, while decentralized functions promote flexibility and quicker decision-making. The centralized elements maintain consistency across the organization, whereas the decentralized elements help PSUs respond faster to regional demands and operational challenges.

This hybrid structure enables PSUs to maintain administrative discipline and accountability while improving responsiveness, innovation, and customer orientation. However, the coexistence of mechanistic and organic elements also creates challenges. Information often flows slowly through hierarchical channels, and decision-making may be delayed due to multiple approval layers. Employees may experience ambiguity regarding goals, and coordination across units can be inconsistent.

To enhance efficiency, many PSUs are now exploring greater decentralization, empowering middle-level managers, and flattening hierarchical layers. Moving toward a more balanced hybrid structure—

where strategic control remains centralized but operational authority is delegated—can significantly improve agility, communication, and performance.

Motivational Factors in Public Sector Employment:

1. Public Service Motivation:

A significant number of PSU employees are intrinsically motivated by a strong sense of public service. They view their roles as opportunities to contribute directly to national development, societal welfare, economic progress, and efficient resource utilization for public benefit. Serving a large population with government-backed resources provides deep fulfilment and a sense of purpose, reinforcing organizational commitment.

2. Job Security:

Job security is one of the most powerful motivators. Unlike the private sector's hire-and-fire culture, PSUs offer lifelong stability, predictable career paths, and protection from economic uncertainties. This reduces anxiety and encourages long-term loyalty and dedication.

3. Working Conditions:

Favourable conditions include structured salary systems, generous pensions, medical benefits, housing allowances, social recognition, and pride in government service. Opportunities for diverse sectoral exposure, professional growth, and a generally supportive environment further enhance job satisfaction and motivation.

Key Focus Areas for HR Practices in PSUs:

1. Staffing:

Improving staffing practices is a critical HR priority in PSUs. Many organizations continue to face shortages of skilled personnel due to slow recruitment processes and long-pending vacancies. Strengthening recruitment and selection systems is essential to ensure that all required positions—especially at technical and frontline levels—are filled in a timely manner.

There is also a strong need to select and performance-oriented professionals who can contribute effectively to organizational goals. Identifying individuals with leadership potential and preparing them for future responsibilities is equally important for building a strong internal talent pipeline.

2. Training and Development:

Training and development remain central to improving employee capability and organizational performance. Enhancing the skills of existing staff through structured in-house training programs and collaborations with external institutions can significantly improve productivity and service quality. Key areas of focus include employee orientation, continuous skill development, technical training, and soft-skills enhancement. Developing communication skills, teamwork, leadership abilities, and digital competencies helps employees adapt to changing work demands and perform more effectively.

3. Development of a Healthy Organizational Environment:

Creating a positive and supportive organizational environment is essential for building motivation and improving performance in PSUs. Regular cultural, social, and recreational activities help strengthen team spirit and reduce workplace stress.

A healthy work culture also requires flexibility, openness to new ideas, and encouragement of creativity and responsible risk-taking. Establishing a participative and friendly work environment, along with a quick and transparent grievance-redressal system, helps employees feel valued and heard. Promoting a culture based on trust, accountability, honesty, and integrity contributes to higher morale, better teamwork, and stronger organizational commitment.

Seema Savaleram Thongire & Dr. Sudhir S. Borate

SWOT Analysis:

	ONGC	IOCL	BHEL	NTPC
Strengths	Strong brand equity and national presence, Focus on sustainability and energy security, Robust dealer and supplier network	Extensive distribution network across India, Diverse brand portfolio in fuels and lubricants, Well-established training and development systems	Technical support from global collaborators, Large and loyal customer base, Reputation for quality engineering products	Decades of experience and sector credibility, Strong support from the central government
Weaknesses	Intense competition from private and global players Limited investment in advanced research and innovation	Competitive pressure from private oil companies Operational limitations due to government control	Long delivery cycles and project delays Challenges linked to PSU status and bureaucratic processes	Pricing constraints under the Electricity Act Dependence on depleting conventional input materials
Opportunities	Rising global crude oil prices Scope for expanding research and exploration activities	Expansion into natural gas and clean energy sectors Growth potential in rural and semi-urban markets	Joint ventures with global firms like Siemens Increased government spending on defense and infrastructure	High demand–supply gap in power generation Expansion into renewable and environment-friendly energy sources.
Threats	Regulatory constraints and government oversight Volatility in global energy markets	Liability laws and environmental regulations Economic fluctuations affecting demand and pricing	Rising competition from private and foreign manufacturers Market associations influencing pricing and demand	Competition from private power producers Rising cost of production and fuel procurement

From Figure 1, The SWOT analysis reveals that while these PSUs possess strong foundational advantages, proactive strategies are needed to address internal inefficiencies, capitalize on emerging opportunities, and mitigate external risks for sustained competitiveness.

Implications:

This study has significant implications for leadership, HR practices, organizational reform, and policy development in Indian Public Sector Undertakings (PSUs). The findings show how structural, cultural, and political factors influence daily operations, employee motivation, performance, and overall effectiveness.

1. It emphasizes the need for greater autonomy and reduced political interference. Stronger governance and more independent decision-making for

Seema Savaleram Thongire & Dr. Sudhir S. Borate

leaders can boost efficiency, foster innovation, and enable long-term planning.

2. The results highlight the importance of modernizing HR systems. Streamlined recruitment, transparent performance management, and ongoing training are vital for developing a skilled, motivated workforce. These updates can help attract talent, retain performers, and close skill gaps limiting productivity.

3. The study stresses the benefits of decentralized, flexible structures. Empowering regional and middle-level managers improves responsiveness, reduces delays, and enhances service delivery. A balanced hybrid model aids adaptation to market changes while preserving accountability.

4. The findings underscore strengthening employee motivation and engagement. Better communication, fair treatment, and growth opportunities can raise morale and curb absenteeism and turnover.

Finally, the study points to leadership development needs. Effective PSU leaders require strong communication, ethical conduct, strategic thinking, and skills to handle administrative complexities. Investment in training can cultivate a new generation of capable leaders.

Overall, enhancing autonomy, modernizing HR, decentralizing decisions, and building leadership capabilities can collectively improve PSU work culture. These implications lay a foundation for reforms and guide policymakers, administrators, and researchers in strengthening India's public sector.

Result:

The study provides key insights into challenges and leadership qualities shaping work culture in Indian Public Sector Undertakings (PSUs). While many findings align with existing literature, some highlight unique Indian public-sector realities.

A primary issue is political influence and limited autonomy. Interference, frequent leadership changes, and external pressures hinder innovative HR practices and long-term strategies, reducing flexibility and weakening work culture.

Rigid rules and bureaucratic HR systems pose another major challenge. Slow processes limit creativity, adaptability, and engagement, necessitating streamlined procedures for faster decisions, better talent management, and greater empowerment.

The study also identifies low employee motivation and engagement due to frequent transfers, poor communication, and unequal power distribution, which erode morale and productivity. Solutions include transparent channels, skill development opportunities, and inclusive environments. Leadership qualities emphasized include strong communication, positive personality, HR competence, and decisive abilities. Effective PSU leaders need clear vision, strategic thinking, and skills to navigate complex challenges.

In summary, findings stress the need for greater autonomy, improved HR systems, and enhanced employee motivation. Addressing these through capable leadership will strengthen work culture and improve public service delivery.

Seema Savaleram Thongire & Dr. Sudhir S. Borate

Conclusion:

This study set out to examine the key challenges shaping work culture in Indian Public Sector Undertakings (PSUs), the qualities required for effective leadership, the priority areas for HR practices, and the factors that motivate individuals to work in the public sector. The findings highlight that the work environment in PSUs is strongly influenced by India's administrative traditions, political structures, and socio-economic expectations. Issues such as political interference, limited autonomy, rigid HR systems, and communication gaps continue to affect organizational efficiency and employee morale. At the same time, the study emphasizes the importance of capable leadership—marked by strong communication skills, sound decision-making, ethical conduct, and a positive personality—in strengthening work culture and guiding teams through complex challenges. HR priorities such as improved staffing, continuous training, and the creation of a healthy organizational environment are essential for building a motivated and future-ready workforce. Motivational factors like job security, public service orientation, and favourable working conditions further explain why PSUs remain an attractive career choice for many.

Overall, the study reinforces insights from existing literature while offering a deeper understanding of the unique cultural and structural realities of Indian PSUs. The results underline the need for greater decentralization, modernized HR practices, and leadership development to enhance organizational performance. By addressing these areas, PSUs can continue to play a vital role in national development and deliver more effective services to the public.

References:

1. Agarwal, P. (2020). *Human Resource Management in Public Sector Enterprises in India*. New Delhi: Sage Publications.

2. Bhatnagar, J. (2014). "Leadership and Work Culture in Indian Organizations." *Indian Journal of Industrial Relations*, 49(3), 387–401.

3. Department of Public Enterprises (DPE). (2023). *Public Enterprises Survey 2022–23*. Government of India.

4. Gupta, A., & Singh, R. (2019). "Challenges of HR Practices in Indian PSUs." *International Journal of Management Studies*, 6(2), 45–56.

5. Kumar, S. (2018). *Organizational Behaviour in Public Sector Undertakings*. Mumbai: Himalaya Publishing House.

6. Mishra, B., & Sharma, R. (2021). "Work Culture and Employee Motivation in Public Sector Organizations." *Journal of Public Administration and Governance*, 11(2), 72–89.

7. Ministry of Heavy Industries. (2022). *Annual Report 2021–22*. Government of India.

8. National Thermal Power Corporation (NTPC). (2023). *Annual Report 2022–23*. NTPC Ltd.

9. Oil and Natural Gas Corporation (ONGC). (2023). *Annual Report 2022–23*. ONGC Ltd.

Seema Savaleram Thongire & Dr. Sudhir S. Borate

10. Indian Oil Corporation Limited (IOCL). (2023). *Annual Report 2022–23*. IOCL Ltd.

11. Bharat Heavy Electricals Limited (BHEL). (2023). *Annual Report 2022–23*. BHEL Ltd.

12. Panda, B., & Gupta, R. (2017). "Public Sector Reforms and Leadership Challenges in India." *Public Policy Review*, 12(1), 55–68.

13. Singh, A. (2016). *Leadership in Public Sector Enterprises: Issues and Challenges*. New Delhi: Excel Books.

14. Vigoda-Gadot, E. (2007). "Public Sector Leadership: Theory and Practice." *Public Administration Review*, 67(4), 567–578.