International Journal of Advance and Applied Research
www.ijaar.co.in
ISSN – 2347-7075 Impact Factor – 7.328
Peer Reviewed Bi-Monthly
Vol.11 No.4 March – April 2024

Iron Oxide (Fe_2O_3) for Super Capacitor Applications

Pratik M. Baride

Assistant Professor, Department of Physics,

Shivaji Mahavidyalay Renapur, Tq-Renapur, Dis-Latur - 413512, Maharashtra

Corresponding Author - Pratik M. Baride

DOI - 10.5281/zenodo.22044525

Abstract:

The increasing demand for efficient, economical, and environmentally sustainable energy-storage technologies has stimulated extensive research on supercapacitors. Although conventional carbon-based electrodes offer excellent power capability and cycling stability, their relatively low specific capacitance limits the achievable energy density. Transition-metal oxides have therefore received considerable attention because of their reversible Faradaic reactions and high theoretical charge-storage capacity. Among them, iron oxide (Fe_2O_3), particularly hematite (α-Fe_2O_3), is an attractive electrode material because of its natural abundance, low cost, low toxicity, chemical stability, and favorable electrochemical redox activity. Fe_2O_3 can participate in reversible Fe^{3+}/Fe^{2+} redox processes and has a theoretical specific capacitance substantially higher than that of many carbon materials. However, its intrinsically poor electrical conductivity, relatively slow ion diffusion, limited utilization of active sites, and structural degradation during repeated cycling restrict its practical performance. Recent research has consequently focused on nanoscale morphology engineering, porous structures, conductive carbon composites, conducting-polymer coatings, heterostructures, oxygen-vacancy engineering, and binder-free electrode architectures. Reported studies demonstrate that porous α-Fe_2O_3, nanosheets, hollow structures, Fe_2O_3/carbon composites, Fe_2O_3/graphene systems, and Fe_2O_3-based asymmetric configurations can substantially improve capacitance, rate capability, energy density, and cycling stability. This review discusses the fundamental properties of Fe_2O_3, charge-storage mechanisms, synthesis strategies, electrode architectures, electrochemical performance, major limitations, and future opportunities for Fe_2O_3-based supercapacitors.

Keywords: Iron oxide, Fe_2O_3, α-Fe_2O_3, Hematite, Pseudocapacitance, Supercapacitor, Nanostructures.

Introduction:

The rapid expansion of portable electronics, electric transportation, renewable-energy systems, sensors, and autonomous devices has created a strong requirement for energy-storage systems capable of delivering both high power and adequate energy density. Supercapacitors occupy an important position between conventional dielectric capacitors and rechargeable batteries. They can generally provide much faster charge-discharge rates and substantially longer cycle life than batteries, while offering higher energy

density than conventional capacitors. Their performance is strongly influenced by the electrode material, electrolyte, electrode architecture, and operating voltage window. Carbon materials such as activated carbon, carbon nanotubes, graphene, and porous carbon have traditionally dominated supercapacitor electrodes because of their high electrical conductivity, large surface area, and excellent electrochemical stability. However, their charge-storage mechanism is primarily associated with electric double-layer capacitance, which limits their specific capacitance. Transition-metal oxides can introduce additional Faradaic charge-storage processes and therefore provide opportunities for increasing energy density. Iron oxide is particularly interesting because iron is abundant, inexpensive, relatively environmentally benign, and capable of exhibiting multiple oxidation states. Several iron oxides exist, including FeO, Fe_3O_4, α-Fe_2O_3, and γ-Fe_2O_3. Among these, α-Fe_2O_3, commonly known as hematite, has received substantial attention as a negative electrode for supercapacitors. It possesses a stable corundum-type crystal structure, good chemical stability, broad negative potential operation, and reversible redox activity. Reviews have identified α-Fe_2O_3 as a promising negative electrode because of its low cost, abundance, environmental compatibility, and high theoretical capacitance (Nithya *et al.,* 2016). Nevertheless, pristine Fe_2O_3 normally cannot achieve its theoretical electrochemical performance because of poor intrinsic electronic conductivity and limited ion transport. Consequently, current research has shifted from simply synthesizing Fe_2O_3 toward engineering its morphology, surface chemistry, porosity, defects, and interfaces with conductive materials.

Structural and Physicochemical Characteristics of Fe_2O_3:

Fe_2O_3 contains iron in the +3 oxidation state and oxygen in the −2 oxidation state. Hematite (α-Fe_2O_3) is the thermodynamically stable form under ordinary conditions and possesses a rhombohedral/corundum-related structure. Other polymorphs, particularly γ-Fe_2O_3 (maghemite), exhibit different structural arrangements and electrochemical properties. The importance of α-Fe_2O_3 for supercapacitors originates from the combination of its redox chemistry and structural stability. During electrochemical operation, reversible changes involving Fe^{3+} and Fe^{2+} can contribute to pseudocapacitive charge storage. Theoretical calculations have reported very high specific capacitance values for α-Fe_2O_3, although experimentally measured values are generally much lower because only a fraction of the theoretical redox-active sites can be effectively utilized. Nithya *et al.* (2016) highlighted a

theoretical specific capacitance of approximately 3625 F g^{-1} under a particular theoretical electrochemical model, while also emphasizing that poor conductivity and structural changes limit practical utilization. Fe_2O_3 also offers several practical advantages. Iron precursors are inexpensive and widely available, and Fe_2O_3 can be synthesized using relatively simple methods such as precipitation, sol-gel processing, hydrothermal synthesis, solvothermal synthesis, electrodeposition, thermal oxidation, and combustion techniques. Furthermore, its chemical stability makes it attractive for long-term electrochemical applications. However, Fe_2O_3 has a major disadvantage: its electronic conductivity is extremely low. This characteristic produces significant internal resistance and restricts electron transport during rapid charge-discharge processes. Xu *et al.* (2019) similarly identified poor conductivity and electrochemical stability as major limitations of iron-oxide-based supercapacitor electrodes.

Charge-Storage Mechanism:

Supercapacitor charge storage can broadly involve electric double-layer capacitance, surface redox reactions, or battery-like Faradaic processes. Fe_2O_3 primarily contributes through Faradaic reactions and ion-assisted surface/interfacial storage. The Fe^{3+}/Fe^{2+} redox couple is particularly important. During charging, Fe^{3+} species can undergo reduction toward Fe^{2+}, while the reverse oxidation process occurs during discharge. The exact charge-storage pathway depends on the crystal structure, particle size, surface chemistry, electrolyte, potential window, and morphology. Li *et al*. (2015) demonstrated that the charge-storage contribution of Fe_2O_3 is strongly influenced by morphology. Nanoparticles, nanorods, and nanosheets exhibited different balances between surface capacitive storage and inner ion-intercalation contributions. Fe_2O_3 nanosheets achieved 279.9 F g^{-1} at 5 mV s^{-1}, demonstrating that morphology can strongly influence ion accessibility and electrochemical utilization. Electrolyte selection is equally important. Aqueous alkaline electrolytes such as KOH can provide high ionic conductivity, whereas neutral electrolytes such as sulfate and nitrate solutions can offer broader operational stability under specific electrode configurations. Consequently, comparison of reported capacitance values must consider electrolyte composition, current density, scan rate, electrode loading, mass normalization, and whether a three-electrode or complete two-electrode device was tested.

Nanostructure Engineering of Fe_2O_3:

One of the most successful approaches for improving Fe_2O_3 performance is reducing the active

material to the nanoscale. Nanostructuring increases the electrode-electrolyte contact area, decreases ion-diffusion distances, and exposes more redox-active sites. Shivakumara (2014) prepared porous α-Fe_2O_3 nanostructures through a sol-gel route. The material possessed a high BET surface area of approximately 386 $m^2\ g^{-1}$ and exhibited a specific capacitance of about 300 F g^{-1} at 1 A g^{-1} in sodium sulfite electrolyte. Although capacitance retention after 1000 cycles was approximately 73%, the work clearly demonstrated the importance of porosity and surface area. Huang *et al.* (2014) developed porous Fe_2O_3 sheets directly on nickel foam. The interconnected porous architecture provided improved electrolyte access and electrical contact with the current collector. The electrode delivered approximately 147 F g^{-1} at 0.36 A g^{-1} and retained 98 F g^{-1} at 3.6 A g^{-1}, while operating over a potential window of approximately 1.0 V. Thermal treatment can also modify crystallinity, porosity, surface defects, and electrical characteristics. Lokhande *et al*. (2014) investigated electrodeposited Fe_2O_3 thin films and found that thermal optimization significantly affected their electrochemical behavior, with a reported pseudocapacitive response of approximately 487 F g^{-1} under the specified measurement conditions. These studies indicate that morphology is not merely a structural parameter; it directly controls electrolyte penetration, active-site accessibility, electron transport, and mechanical stability.

Hollow and Three-Dimensional Fe_2O_3 Structures:

Hollow and hierarchical architectures have emerged as particularly attractive because they combine high surface area with internal void spaces. Such structures can accommodate structural changes during repeated redox reactions and facilitate electrolyte penetration. Zheng *et al*. (2016) developed α-Fe_2O_3 hollow nanoshuttles for supercapacitor electrodes. The hollow morphology generated large solid-liquid reaction interfaces and improved electrolyte diffusion. The optimized material achieved approximately 249 F g^{-1} at 0.5 A g^{-1} and exhibited relatively stable performance over a broad temperature range. Such structures are important because the electrochemical performance of Fe_2O_3 is often limited by diffusion within relatively dense particles. Creating nanoscale walls, internal cavities, interconnected pores, and open channels can reduce these limitations. Recent work has further demonstrated the importance of morphology control. Phakkhawan *et al*. (2022) synthesized nine different Fe_2O_3 morphologies using different reagents and solvents. Flower-like Fe_2O_3 possessed a relatively favorable porous structure and achieved 218.49 F g^{-1}. The study also provided evidence for H_2O and K^+

involvement together with Fe^{3+}/Fe^{2+} conversion during electrochemical operation.

Fe_2O_3 Carbon Composites:

Combining Fe_2O_3 with conductive carbon materials is one of the most effective strategies for overcoming its intrinsic conductivity limitation. Carbon nanotubes, activated carbon, graphene, reduced graphene oxide, carbon cloth, and porous carbon frameworks provide conductive pathways while maintaining the Faradaic activity of Fe_2O_3. Ye *et al.* (2015) developed carbon-coated Fe_2O_3 nanoparticles. The carbon shell improved conductivity while the activation process increased porosity. The resulting activated Fe_2O_3C electrode delivered a specific capacitance of approximately 612 F g^{-1} at 0.5 A g^{-1} in 5 M NaOH. After 10,000 cycles at 4 A g^{-1}, about 90.6% of the initial capacitance was retained, demonstrating the strong benefit of carbon encapsulation. Graphene is another particularly effective conductive framework because of its high electrical conductivity and large accessible surface area. Xie *et al*. (2017) developed Fe_2O_3/graphene paper as a negative electrode. The hybrid achieved an aerial capacitance of approximately 3.08 F cm^{-2} at 5 mA cm^{-2}, nearly 14 times higher than the corresponding Fe_2O_3/carbon-paper electrode, and retained approximately 95% of its initial capacitance during long-term cycling.

Fe_2O_3/Conducting-Polymer and Hybrid Architectures:

Conducting polymers represent another important route for enhancing Fe_2O_3 electrodes. Polyaniline, polypyrrole, and related materials can improve electron transport and contribute additional pseudocapacitance. Core-shell Fe_2O_3 PANI nanowire arrays are particularly attractive because the Fe_2O_3 provides the negative-electrode redox activity while the polymer shell improves electrical connectivity and interfacial charge transfer. Such architectures also offer high surface area and shorter transport pathways. The broader concept of hybridization is supported by research on iron-based supercapacitor electrodes, which shows that combining iron oxides with conductive materials can overcome the poor conductivity and instability that limit pristine iron oxides (Zeng *et al.,* 2016). Hybrid architectures should nevertheless be carefully optimized. Excessive polymer or carbon loading can decrease the fraction of electrochemically active Fe_2O_3, increase inactive mass, block pores, or reduce ion accessibility. Therefore, the ideal composite should establish intimate electronic contact while retaining maximum electrolyte-accessible Fe_2O_3.

Activated Carbon Cloth and Binder-Free Electrodes:

Traditional electrodes often require binders and conductive additives. These inactive components increase electrode mass and may introduce additional resistance. Direct growth or deposition of Fe_2O_3 on conductive substrates can therefore improve practical electrode performance. Li et al. (2019) reported porous Fe_2O_3 nanospheres anchored on activated carbon cloth. The Fe_2O_3 activated-carbon-cloth electrode achieved an area-specific capacitance of approximately 2775 mF cm^{-2} in 3 M $LiNO_3$. A symmetric device constructed from these electrodes operated at approximately 1.8 V and retained about 95% of its initial capacitance after 4000 cycles. Binder-free designs also reduce contact resistance and provide mechanically integrated electrode structures. Carbon cloth, nickel foam, stainless steel, and other three-dimensional current collectors can act as both conductive pathways and structural supports.

Defect Engineering and Interface Modification:

Defect engineering is becoming an increasingly important strategy. Oxygen vacancies and other lattice defects can modify electronic structure, increase active sites, and facilitate ion transport. However, defects must be carefully controlled because excessive structural disorder can reduce stability. Dong *et al.* (2020) used an Fe-ZIF-derived architecture to prepare α-Fe_2O_3 C with dispersed carbon. The resulting electrode achieved approximately 161 F g^{-1} at 1 A g^{-1}, while an asymmetric device using $Na_{0.5}MnO_2$ as the positive electrode achieved an energy density of approximately 25 Wh kg^{-1} at a corresponding power density of 2400 W kg^{-1}. Core-shell heterostructures provide another route. Fe_2O_3 CeO_2, for example, has demonstrated improved capacitance compared with individual components, illustrating how interfacial interactions can modify charge-transfer behavior.

Asymmetric Supercapacitors:

Although Fe_2O_3 can be studied in symmetric systems, its greatest practical opportunity may lie in asymmetric supercapacitors. In an asymmetric device, two different electrodes are selected so that the overall operating voltage can be expanded. Because energy density is proportional to the square of voltage, increasing the cell voltage can significantly improve energy storage. Fe_2O_3 is especially attractive as a negative electrode because it can operate over a favorable negative potential range. It can therefore be paired with positive materials such as MnO_2, NiO, conducting polymers, or other transition-metal oxides. Phakkhawan *et al.* (2022) demonstrated an Fe_2O_3/MnO_2 asymmetric configuration

with an energy density of approximately 15.58 Wh kg^{-1} and power density of 399 W kg^{-1} under their reported conditions. The practical performance of asymmetric devices, however, should be evaluated using complete two-electrode cells rather than relying solely on three-electrode measurements. Differences in active-material mass, electrolyte stability, electrode balancing, voltage window, and device packaging can substantially affect reported energy and power densities.

Recent Progress Toward Flexible and Solid-State Devices:

The integration of Fe_2O_3 into flexible supercapacitors is another promising direction. Flexible carbon cloth, carbon paper, metallic foams, and polymer-supported substrates enable electrodes to be incorporated into wearable and portable devices. Raut *et al*. (2021) demonstrated a prototype symmetric solid-state device based on MWCNT/Fe_2O_3 electrodes and a PVA-LiCl gel electrolyte. The device demonstrated approximately 70.16 F g^{-1} specific capacitance, 9.74 Wh kg^{-1} specific energy, and 487 W kg^{-1} specific power under the reported conditions, with 75% capacitance retention after 1500 cycles. Such systems demonstrate that Fe_2O_3 is not restricted to conventional liquid-electrolyte laboratory cells. However, further optimization of mechanical flexibility, gel-electrolyte compatibility, electrode adhesion, and long-term cycling is required.

Major Challenges:

Poor intrinsic conductivity: The extremely low electronic conductivity of Fe_2O_3 remains the most important limitation. Conductive carbon frameworks, graphene, nanotubes, conducting polymers, and metallic current collectors are therefore necessary in many high-performance designs.

Limited active-site utilization: Theoretical capacitance values are much higher than most experimentally measured values. Dense structures prevent electrolyte ions from accessing internal redox-active regions.

Cycling stability: Repeated Fe^{3+}/Fe^{2+} conversion can produce structural changes and volume fluctuations. Porous and nanoscale architectures can reduce these effects but may also become susceptible to dissolution or aggregation.

Mass-loading problem: Many impressive results are obtained with very low active-material loading. Increasing loading while maintaining high specific and areal capacitance remains a major engineering challenge.

Inconsistent testing conditions: Reported capacitance values vary greatly because researchers use different electrolytes, current densities, scan rates, electrode masses, potential windows, and cell

configurations. Standardized reporting is necessary for meaningful comparison.

Scale-up and manufacturing: Laboratory-scale hydrothermal, solvothermal, or complex composite synthesis may not always be economically attractive at industrial scale. Simple, reproducible, low-temperature, and scalable synthesis methods are desirable.

Future Perspectives:

Future research should move from maximizing isolated specific capacitance toward developing practical Fe_2O_3-based devices with high mass loading, high areal capacitance, long cycle life, and reliable performance under realistic operating conditions. Three-dimensional hierarchical architectures are expected to remain important because they can simultaneously provide high surface area, interconnected ion pathways, and conductive networks. Carbon cloth, graphene frameworks, carbon aerogels, and porous carbon derived from sustainable precursors can provide scalable conductive supports.

Conclusion:

Fe_2O_3, particularly α-Fe_2O_3, represents a promising low-cost and environmentally attractive electrode material for supercapacitor applications. Its abundance, chemical stability, favorable redox chemistry, and theoretical charge-storage capability make it especially attractive as a negative electrode. However, poor intrinsic conductivity, slow ion transport, limited active-site utilization, and structural degradation prevent pristine Fe_2O_3 from reaching its theoretical potential. Research conducted between 2014 and 2022 demonstrates that nanostructuring, porosity engineering, hollow architectures, conductive carbon integration, graphene hybridization, conducting-polymer coatings, defect engineering, and binder-free electrode design can significantly improve electrochemical performance. Porous Fe_2O_3 structures have demonstrated capacitances around several hundred farads per gram, while appropriately designed carbon-coated and hybrid systems have achieved substantially higher values under particular experimental conditions.

References:

1. Dong, T., Deng, T., Chu, X., Qin, T., Wang, H., Wang, Z., ... & Zheng, W. (2020). Carbon intermediate boosted Fe–ZIF derived α–Fe2O3 as a high-performance negative electrode for supercapacitors. *Nanotechnology*, *31* (13), 135403.
2. Huang, J., Yang, S., Xu, Y., Zhou, X., Jiang, X., Shi, N., ... & Wang, G. (2014). Fe2O3 sheets grown on nickel foam as electrode material for electrochemical capacitors. *Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry*, *713*, 98-102.

3. Li, J., Wang, Y., Xu, W., Wang, Y., Zhang, B., Luo, S., ... & Hu, C. (2019). Porous Fe2O3 nanospheres anchored on activated carbon cloth for high-performance symmetric supercapacitors. *Nano Energy*, *57*, 379-387.
4. Li, Y., Li, Q., Cao, L., Cui, X., Yang, Y., Xiao, P., & Zhang, Y. (2015). The impact of morphologies and electrolyte solutions on the supercapacitive behavior for Fe2O3 and the charge storage mechanism. *Electrochimica Acta*, *178*, 171-178.
5. Lokhande, B. J., Ambare, R. C., & Bharadwaj, S. R. (2014). Thermal optimization and supercapacitive application of electrodeposited Fe2O3 thin films. *Measurement*, *47*, 427-432.
6. Nithya, V. D., & Arul, N. S. (2016). Review on α-Fe2O3 based negative electrode for high performance supercapacitors. *Journal of Power Sources*, *327*, 297-318.
7. Phakkhawan, A., Suksangrat, P., Srepusharawoot, P., Ruangchai, S., Klangtakai, P., Pimanpang, S., & Amornkitbamrung, V. (2022). Reagent-and solvent-mediated Fe2O3 morphologies and electrochemical mechanism of Fe2O3 supercapacitors. *Journal of Alloys and Compounds*, *919*, 165702.
8. Shivakumara, S. (2014). High specific surface area α-Fe_2O_3 nanostructures as high performance electrode material for supercapacitors. Materials Letters, 131, 100–103.
9. Xie, S., Zhang, M., Liu, P., Wang, S., Liu, S., Feng, H., ... & Cheng, F. (2017). Advanced negative electrode of Fe2O3/graphene oxide paper for high energy supercapacitors. *Materials Research Bulletin*, *96*, 413-418.
10. Xu, B., Zheng, M., Tang, H., Chen, Z., Chi, Y., Wang, L., ... & Pang, H. (2019). Iron oxide-based nanomaterials for supercapacitors. *Nanotechnology*, *30* (20), 204002.
11. Ye, Y., Zhang, H., Chen, Y., Deng, P., Huang, Z., Liu, L., ... & Li, Q. (2015). Core–shell structure carbon coated ferric oxide (Fe2O3) nanoparticles for supercapacitors with superior electrochemical performance. *Journal of Alloys and Compounds*, *639*, 422-427.
12. Zheng, X., Yan, X., Sun, Y., Yu, Y., Zhang, G., Shen, Y., ... & Zhang, Y. (2016). Temperature-dependent electrochemical capacitive performance of the α-Fe2O3 hollow nanoshuttles as supercapacitor electrodes. *Journal of colloid and interface science*, *466*, 291-296.