International Journal of Advance and Applied Research
www.ijaar.co.in
ISSN – 2347-7075 Impact Factor – 8.141
Peer Reviewed Bi-Monthly
Vol. 12 No. 6 July – August 2025

Green Chemistry: Opportunities, Challenges and Success

Kamble Supriya Omprakash
Assistant Professor, Department of Chemistry,
Maharashtra Mahavidyalaya, Nilanga, Maharashtra, India
Corresponding Author - Kamble Supriya Omprakash

DOI - 10.5281/zenodo.21999713

Abstract:

Green chemistry has emerged as a transformative approach for redesigning chemical products, processes, and technologies so that environmental protection, resource efficiency, human safety, and economic performance are considered simultaneously. Unlike conventional pollution-control strategies that primarily address waste after it has been generated, green chemistry emphasizes prevention at the molecular and process-design stages. Since the formalization of the twelve principles of green chemistry, substantial progress has been achieved in catalysis, solvent replacement, renewable feedstocks, biocatalysis, continuous-flow processing, mechanochemistry, energy-efficient synthesis, waste valorization, and circular chemical manufacturing. These developments demonstrate that environmental improvement does not necessarily require sacrificing productivity or economic competitiveness. Green chemistry has also contributed to the development of new metrics, including atom economy, reaction mass efficiency, E-factor, process mass intensity, and life-cycle-based indicators, which provide quantitative approaches for assessing sustainability. However, several challenges remain, including the substitution of hazardous substances without introducing new risks, dependence on fossil-derived resources, incomplete life-cycle assessments, high costs associated with emerging technologies, difficulties in industrial scale-up, inadequate sustainability education, and inconsistent definitions of what constitutes a genuinely green process. The integration of green chemistry with circular economy principles, renewable energy, biotechnology, artificial intelligence, systems thinking, and Industry 4.0 offers important opportunities for overcoming these limitations. This review discusses the conceptual foundation, major technological opportunities, successful applications, persistent challenges, assessment methodologies, and future directions of green chemistry. The evidence indicates that the next stage of green chemistry should move beyond isolated improvements in individual reactions toward integrated, life-cycle-oriented, economically viable, and socially responsible chemical systems.

Keywords: Green Chemistry, Sustainability, Synthesis, Catalysis, Renewable Resources

Introduction:

Chemistry is fundamental to modern society and supports agriculture, pharmaceuticals, energy technologies, construction, transportation, electronics, food production, and countless consumer products. However, conventional chemical production has historically depended on fossil resources, hazardous reagents, energy-intensive transformations, volatile organic solvents, and processes that generate substantial quantities of waste. Consequently, the environmental footprint of chemistry cannot be addressed solely through end-of-pipe treatment. A more effective strategy is to prevent pollution and hazard during the design of chemical products and processes. Green chemistry provides such a framework by seeking to reduce or eliminate the use and generation of hazardous substances at their source. Anastas et al. (2010) emphasized that green chemistry operates principally at the molecular and process-design levels, using a coherent set of principles to achieve environmental and economic objectives simultaneously. The development of green chemistry represents a conceptual transition from pollution control to pollution prevention. Conventional environmental management generally treats waste after it has been produced, whereas green chemistry asks whether the waste, hazardous reagent, toxic intermediate, or energy- intensive operation can be avoided altogether. This preventive philosophy has encouraged researchers to redesign synthetic routes, replace hazardous solvents, develop catalytic processes, use renewable feedstocks, improve atom utilization, and design safer products. Linthorst et al. (2010) documented the historical development of the field and its expansion as an interdisciplinary approach connecting chemistry, environmental science, engineering, industrial technology, and sustainability. The importance of green chemistry has increased further because chemical industries are now expected to address climate change, resource depletion, biodiversity loss, plastic pollution, and increasingly complex waste streams. Green chemistry therefore intersects with circular economy principles, renewable energy, biotechnology, materials science, and sustainable engineering. Silvestri et al. (2021) showed that green chemistry is increasingly connected with broader sustainability and circular-economy frameworks, particularly through resource efficiency, recycling, safer production, and life-cycle thinking. This review examines the principal opportunities, challenges, and successful developments associated with green chemistry with particular emphasis on technologies that have demonstrated potential for transforming chemical research and industrial manufacturing.

Fundamental Principles of Green Chemistry:

The twelve principles of green chemistry provide a design-oriented framework for improving chemical processes and products. These principles include waste prevention, atom economy, less hazardous synthesis, safer chemical design, safer solvents and auxiliaries, energy efficiency, renewable feedstocks, reduction of unnecessary derivatization, catalysis, design for degradation, real-time analysis for pollution prevention, and inherently safer chemistry. Anastas et al. (2010) emphasized that the principles should not be treated as independent checkboxes. Instead, they function as an integrated design framework in which improvements in one dimension should ideally reinforce improvements in others. For example, a catalytic reaction may simultaneously increase selectivity, reduce waste, decrease energy requirements, and improve atom economy. The concept of atom economy has been particularly influential because it evaluates how effectively reactant atoms are incorporated into the desired product. Sheldon et al. (2016) highlighted the importance of resource efficiency and the transformation of chemical manufacturing toward processes that minimize waste generation. The shift from yield-centered thinking to resource-centered process design has been one of the most important contributions of green chemistry. Green chemistry also distinguishes between hazard and risk. Personal protective equipment and containment can reduce exposure, but they do not inherently reduce the intrinsic hazard of a chemical. Green design instead seeks to select or develop substances with reduced toxicity and environmental persistence. This principle is particularly important in pharmaceuticals, pesticides, polymers, dyes, and specialty chemicals where large-scale production and widespread use can result in significant environmental exposure.

Opportunities in Green Chemistry:

Green Catalysis:

Catalysis represents one of the most powerful opportunities for green chemistry. Catalysts accelerate chemical transformations while ideally reducing activation energy, improving selectivity, lowering temperature and pressure requirements, and minimizing unwanted by-products. Catalytic technologies include heterogeneous catalysis, homogeneous catalysis, photocatalysis, electrocatalysis, organocatalysis, and biocatalysis. The development of highly selective catalysts can dramatically reduce the number of synthetic steps required to obtain valuable products. Catalytic reactions can also decrease reagent consumption and simplify downstream purification. The use of catalytic rather than stoichiometric reagents therefore contributes simultaneously to waste prevention and resource efficiency. Biocatalysis is particularly attractive because enzymes can operate under relatively mild conditions and frequently display exceptional chemo-, regio-, and stereoselectivity. Sheldon et al. (2018) described biocatalysis as a major sustainable technology because it can improve synthetic efficiency while reducing energy consumption and hazardous reagent use. Future catalytic opportunities include earth-abundant metal catalysts, metal-free catalysts, enzyme engineering, immobilized enzymes, photocatalysts activated by visible light, and catalysts designed for chemical recycling. Catalyst recovery and reuse will be increasingly important because catalyst manufacture itself has an environmental footprint.

Renewable Feedstocks:

Traditional chemical manufacturing relies heavily on petroleum, natural gas, and other finite resources. Green chemistry encourages the use of renewable raw materials whenever technically and economically feasible. Biomass can provide carbohydrates, oils, lignocellulosic materials, proteins, terpenes, organic acids, and other molecules suitable for conversion into fuels, solvents, polymers, pharmaceuticals, and specialty chemicals. The transition to renewable feedstocks, however, should not be interpreted as simply replacing petroleum with biomass. Feedstock cultivation can require land, water, fertilizers, pesticides, and energy.

Therefore, sustainable biomass utilization requires life-cycle assessment and careful consideration of food security, biodiversity, land-use change, and transportation. Gu et al. (2013) demonstrated the potential of biomass-derived materials and bio-based solvents for replacing petroleum-derived chemical resources and conventional reaction media. Such materials can provide renewable alternatives while supporting new catalytic and biocatalytic processes.

Safer and Alternative Solvents:

Solvents frequently represent a major fraction of the mass and environmental burden of chemical manufacturing. Consequently, solvent selection is a central opportunity for green chemistry. Water, ethanol, ethyl acetate, supercritical fluids, bio-based solvents, ionic liquids, and deep eutectic solvents have all been investigated as alternatives to conventional volatile organic compounds. Gu et al. (2013) reviewed bio-based solvents and demonstrated their growing importance in organic synthesis, catalysis, separation, and biotransformation. Deep eutectic solvents have also attracted substantial attention because they can often be prepared by mixing inexpensive components, may exhibit low volatility, and can facilitate catalyst recovery. Perna et al. (2020) reported that deep eutectic solvents can provide useful reaction environments for catalytic and biochemical transformations, although their environmental advantages must be assessed rather than assumed. An important lesson is that the label "green solvent" should not be assigned solely on the basis of low volatility or renewable origin. Toxicity, biodegradability, production energy, persistence, recovery, and end-of-life behavior must also be considered.

Energy-Efficient Chemistry:

Energy consumption is a critical sustainability parameter. Traditional chemical synthesis may require elevated temperatures, high pressures, prolonged reaction times, or energy-intensive separations. Green chemistry encourages reactions under ambient or near-ambient conditions whenever possible. Mechanochemistry provides one promising approach because chemical transformations can occur through mechanical energy rather than large volumes of solvent or prolonged heating. Andersen et al. (2018) reviewed advances in mechanochemical organic synthesis and noted the increasing interest in mechanochemistry for reducing solvent use and improving process sustainability. Photochemistry and electrochemistry provide additional opportunities. Visible-light photocatalysis can activate reactions under relatively mild conditions, while electrochemical methods can replace stoichiometric oxidants or reductants with electrons. When electricity is supplied by renewable sources, these approaches may contribute to substantial reductions in fossil energy dependence.

Continuous-Flow Manufacturing:

Continuous-flow chemistry provides another major opportunity. Unlike conventional batch processing, flow systems continuously introduce reactants and remove products, allowing improved heat and mass transfer, enhanced reaction control, reduced reactor volume, and potentially safer operation. Rogers et al. (2019) demonstrated that continuous manufacturing can support several principles of green chemistry, particularly through improved process efficiency, safety, reaction control, and integration of reaction and separation operations. Continuous-flow technology is particularly attractive for pharmaceuticals and specialty chemicals because hazardous intermediates can be generated and consumed in small quantities without accumulating large inventories. The combination of flow chemistry with automated reaction monitoring, artificial intelligence, robotics, and digital process control could enable rapid optimization of sustainable synthetic routes.

Green Chemistry Metrics and Quantitative Assessment:

One of the major challenges in green chemistry is determining whether a process is genuinely greener than an alternative. High product yield alone is insufficient. A reaction may provide excellent yield while consuming large quantities of solvent, producing significant waste, or requiring hazardous reagents. Several metrics have therefore been developed. Atom economy measures the proportion of reactant atoms incorporated into the desired product. The E-factor quantifies the amount of waste produced relative to product mass. Process mass intensity evaluates the total mass of materials used per unit mass of product. Reaction mass efficiency combines yield and atom economy. Energy consumption, solvent intensity, water use, toxicity, carbon footprint, and life-cycle indicators provide additional dimensions. Sheldon et al. (2017)

highlighted the continuing importance of the E-factor for evaluating resource efficiency and waste minimization across chemical industries. More recently, Martínez et al. (2022) reviewed green chemistry metrics and emphasized the need for appropriate quantitative tools to evaluate how effectively a process follows green chemistry principles. However, metrics can sometimes provide conflicting conclusions. A process may have a low E-factor but use a toxic solvent, or a renewable feedstock may have a high water footprint. Therefore, future assessment should combine reaction-level metrics with life-cycle assessment and systems-level sustainability analysis.

Successful Applications of Green Chemistry:

Pharmaceutical Manufacturing:

The pharmaceutical industry has become one of the major areas for green chemistry implementation because drug synthesis often involves multiple steps, protecting groups, stoichiometric reagents, chromatography, and large quantities of organic solvents. Green chemistry has encouraged shorter synthetic routes, catalytic transformations, solvent replacement, continuous processing, crystallization instead of chromatography, and improved recovery of solvents and catalysts. These changes can simultaneously reduce waste, energy consumption, manufacturing cost, and environmental impact. Continuous manufacturing is especially promising because it enables tighter control over reaction conditions and may facilitate process intensification. Rogers et al. (2019) reported several industrial examples in which continuous manufacturing generated measurable green chemistry advantages.

Biocatalytic Production:

Enzymatic synthesis has progressed from a specialized academic technique to an increasingly valuable industrial technology. Enzymes can perform selective transformations that are difficult to achieve using conventional chemistry. The major advantages include high selectivity, mild reaction conditions, reduced protection/deprotection requirements, and compatibility with aqueous or low-toxicity media. Sheldon et al. (2018) emphasized that enzyme engineering and improved biocatalyst development have expanded the range of commercially relevant transformations.

Mechanochemical Synthesis:

Mechanochemical approaches provide examples of how traditional chemical transformations can be redesigned by changing the energy input and reaction environment. Andersen et al. (2018) described significant progress in mechanochemistry and its potential to reduce solvent use and enable efficient synthesis. Industrial scale-up remains an important consideration, but advances in extrusion-based processing suggest that mechanochemistry can move beyond laboratory-scale demonstrations.

Circular Plastics and Waste Valorization:

Plastic pollution represents a major challenge for modern society. Green chemistry contributes to the problem at multiple stages by encouraging safer polymer design, renewable feedstocks, reduced additives, improved recyclability, and chemical recycling. Sheldon et al. (2020) argued that green chemistry can support a circular plastics economy by combining renewable feedstocks, catalytic technologies, improved polymer design, and recycling strategies. The goal should not simply be to recycle existing materials but to design plastics that are easier to recover, reuse, chemically recycle, or safely degrade.

Circular Economy Integration:

Green chemistry and circular economy approaches are increasingly converging. The circular economy seeks to maintain the value of materials through reuse, repair, recycling, and regeneration, while green chemistry seeks to make the materials and processes themselves safer and more efficient. Chen et al. (2020) proposed integrating green chemistry principles with circular-economy strategies through cleaner production, chemical management, education, governance, and improved recovery and recycling systems. Mohan et al. (2021) further proposed circular chemistry as a framework that integrates life-cycle and systems thinking with chemical production and resource management.

Major Challenges:

The Risk of Greenwashing: One of the most important challenges is the tendency to describe a process as green because it possesses one favorable characteristic. For example, a bio-derived solvent may be renewable but could still be toxic, difficult to recycle, or energy-intensive to manufacture. A genuinely green process should therefore be evaluated across its entire life cycle. The substitution of one problematic chemical with another is not necessarily sustainable if the replacement introduces a different environmental or health burden.

Scale-Up and Industrial Implementation: Many green chemistry technologies demonstrate excellent performance at laboratory scale but encounter difficulties during commercialization. Industrial processes must meet requirements for productivity, reliability, safety, cost, supply-chain availability, regulatory compliance, and product quality. Scale-up may alter heat transfer, mass transfer, mixing, catalyst performance, and separation efficiency. Therefore, successful laboratory chemistry does not automatically translate into a commercially successful green process.

Economic Barriers: Cost remains a major barrier to the adoption of new green technologies. Renewable feedstocks, specialized catalysts, advanced reactors, biocatalysts, and sophisticated process-monitoring systems may initially be more expensive than established technologies. However, economic evaluation should include waste disposal, energy consumption, worker safety, regulatory compliance, raw-material volatility, and potential carbon costs. A process with a higher initial investment may become economically superior when the complete life-cycle cost is considered.

Incomplete Life-Cycle Assessment: Life-cycle assessment is essential but can be complex and data-intensive. Green chemistry decisions made at the reaction level may shift environmental burdens elsewhere in the supply chain. For example, reducing reaction temperature may lower energy consumption but require a more complex catalyst. Similarly, replacing a fossil-derived feedstock with biomass may reduce fossil dependence while increasing land or water requirements. Lokesh et al. (2020) emphasized the importance of integrating resource efficiency and circularity into sustainability metrics for bio-based products.

Education and Skills: The successful implementation of green chemistry requires chemists who understand not only synthesis but also toxicology, environmental fate, process engineering, life- cycle assessment, economics, and systems thinking. Zuin et al. (2021) emphasized that green and sustainable chemistry education must become more interdisciplinary and should prepare scientists
to consider environmental, social, technological, and economic dimensions when designing chemical products and processes.

Future Opportunities:

The future of green chemistry will depend increasingly on interdisciplinary integration. Artificial intelligence and machine learning can accelerate catalyst discovery, solvent selection, reaction optimization, toxicity prediction, and materials design. Automated laboratories can evaluate thousands of reaction conditions while reducing material consumption and experimental waste. Digital technologies can also support real-time monitoring and predictive process control. When combined with continuous-flow systems, robotics, and renewable electricity, these technologies may enable highly efficient chemical manufacturing with reduced waste and energy demand. Another important direction is the integration of green chemistry with circularity. Chemical products should increasingly be designed with their end-of-life fate in mind. Materials should be easier to disassemble, recycle, regenerate, or safely degrade. Chemical recycling should be supported by catalysts and processes capable of selectively converting waste into high-value products. Silvestri et al. (2021) noted that green chemistry is becoming increasingly aligned with broader sustainability and circular-economy objectives. This transition represents a movement from “green reactions” toward “green systems,” in which raw materials, synthesis, product use, recycling, and disposal are considered together. Another major opportunity is the use of renewable energy to power chemical transformations. Electrification of chemical processes, renewable hydrogen, electrochemical synthesis, photocatalysis, and carbon-dioxide utilization could reduce dependence on fossil-based energy and feedstocks. However, these approaches must be evaluated according to actual energy sources and complete life-cycle impacts. Green chemistry can contribute to several Sustainable Development Goals by improving resource efficiency, reducing pollution, supporting safer industrial processes, encouraging innovation, and enabling more sustainable production systems. Its influence extends beyond environmental protection because cleaner chemical technologies can improve worker safety, reduce manufacturing costs, conserve resources, and create new industrial opportunities. Importantly, green chemistry should not be viewed as a niche branch of chemistry. It represents a design philosophy that can be integrated into organic chemistry, inorganic chemistry, analytical chemistry, materials science, nanotechnology, biotechnology, pharmaceutical science, environmental chemistry, and chemical engineering. The growing relationship between green chemistry and circular economy demonstrates this broadening scope. Chen et al. (2020) emphasized the need for collaboration among government, industry, education, and research institutions to accelerate green chemistry implementation.

Conclusion:

Green chemistry has progressed from a conceptual framework for pollution prevention into a broad scientific and technological movement influencing chemical synthesis, catalysis, manufacturing, materials development, biotechnology, energy, and waste management. Its greatest achievement has been the transformation of environmental protection from an end-of-pipe activity into a design objective. Major opportunities exist in catalysis, biocatalysis, renewable feedstocks, safer solvents, mechanochemistry, continuous manufacturing, electrochemistry, photocatalysis, waste valorization, and circular chemical production. Successful applications demonstrate that environmental improvement can be compatible with high productivity, selectivity, safety, and economic performance. Nevertheless, green chemistry faces substantial challenges. Claims of sustainability must be supported by quantitative metrics and life-cycle assessment rather than isolated improvements. Scale-up, cost, feedstock availability, toxicity, catalyst sustainability, education, regulatory systems, and infrastructure remain important barriers. The next generation of green chemistry should therefore adopt a systems-oriented perspective. The integration of green chemistry with circular economy principles, renewable energy, biotechnology, advanced catalysis, and digital manufacturing offers a realistic pathway toward a safer, resource-efficient, and more sustainable chemical industry.

References:

1. Anastas, P., & Eghbali, N. (2009). Green chemistry: principles and practice. Chemical society reviews, 39(1), 301-312.
2. Andersen, J., & Mack, J. (2018). Mechanochemistry and organic synthesis: from mystical to practical. Green chemistry, 20(7), 1435-1443.
3. Chen, T. L., Kim, H., Pan, S. Y., Tseng, P. C., Lin, Y. P., & Chiang, P. C. (2020). Implementation of green chemistry principles in circular economy system towards sustainable development goals: Challenges and perspectives. Science of the Total Environment, 716, 136998.
4. Gu, Y., & Jérôme, F. (2013). Bio-based solvents: an emerging generation of fluids for the design of

eco-efficient processes in catalysis and organic chemistry. Chemical Society Reviews, 42(24),

5. 9550-9570.
6. Linthorst, J. A. (2010). An overview: origins and development of green chemistry. Foundations of chemistry, 12(1), 55-68.
7. Martínez, J., Cortés, J. F., & Miranda, R. (2022). Green chemistry metrics, a review. Processes, 10(7), 1274.
8. Moity, L., Molinier, V., Benazzouz, A., Barone, R., Marion, P., & Aubry, J. M. (2013). In silico design of bio-based commodity chemicals: application to itaconic acid-based solvents. Green Chemistry, 16(1), 146-160.
9. Perna, F. M., Vitale, P., & Capriati, V. (2020). Deep eutectic solvents and their applications as green solvents. Current opinion in green and sustainable chemistry, 21, 27-33.
10. Rogers, L., & Jensen, K. F. (2019). Continuous manufacturing–the Green Chemistry promise? Green chemistry, 21(13), 3481-3498.
11. Sheldon, R. A. (2016). Green chemistry and resource efficiency: towards a green economy. Green Chemistry, 18(11), 3180-3183.
12. Sheldon, R. A. (2017). The E factor 25 years on: the rise of green chemistry and sustainability. Green Chemistry, 19(1), 18-43.
13. Sheldon, R. A., & Norton, M. (2020). Green chemistry and the plastic pollution challenge: towards a circular economy. Green Chemistry, 22(19), 6310-6322.
14. Sheldon, R. A., & Woodley, J. M. (2018). Role of biocatalysis in sustainable chemistry. Chemical reviews, 118(2), 801-838.
15. Silvestri, C., Silvestri, L., Forcina, A., Di Bona, G., & Falcone, D. (2021). Green chemistry contribution towards more equitable global sustainability and greater circular economy: A systematic literature review. Journal of Cleaner Production, 294, 126137.
16. Tucker, J. L. (2010). Green chemistry: cresting a summit toward sustainability. Organic Process Research & Development, 14(2), 328-331.