International Journal of Advance and Applied Research
Peer Reviewed | International Open Access Journal
ISSN: 2347-7075 | Impact Factor – 8.141 | *Website:* https://ijaar.co.in/
Volume-13, Issue-6 | July – August 2026

Original Article

The Frontier of Research: A Comprehensive Review of AI-Driven Literature Synthesis and Systematic Automation, with Special Reference to Library and Information Science

Supriya Bhaskar Kuber
Librarian,
Rasiklal M. Dhariwal Institute of Pharmaceutical Education and Research, Pune, MH

Manuscript ID: IJAAR-130605
ISSN: 2347-7075
Impact Factor – 8.141

Volume - 13
Issue - 6
July – August 2026
Pp. 32 - 39

Submitted: 09 July 2026
Revised: 17 July 2026
Accepted: 20 July 2026
Published: 31 July 2026

Corresponding Author:
Supriya Bhaskar Kuber

Quick Response Code:

Website: https://ijaar.co.in/

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.21773224

DOI Link: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.21773224

Creative Commons

OPEN ACCESS

Abstract:

The exponential surge in academic publishing poses a severe synthesis bottleneck, as sample sizes in systematic reviews increasingly exceed the limits of human cognitive processing. To overcome these constraints, artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) are transforming literature synthesis through automated and semi-automated workflows. This review paper synthesises foundational AI taxonomies. Special reference is made to Library and Information Science (LIS), detailing how AI is integrated into core system architectures, including Integrated Library Systems (ILS), RFID inventory management, patron service systems, and digital libraries. Key LIS implementations highlight automated cataloguing and Dewey Decimal Classification (DDC 23) using machine learning classifiers, semantic recommendation engines such as HAMLET (doc2vec) and hybrid collaborative filtering, 24/7 virtual reference chatbots such as Xiaotu, and physical library robotics for automated document retrieval and shelf-reading. the paper outlines practical, automated systematic literature review (SLR) toolchains that combine Large Language Models (ChatGPT) for query formulation, active machine learning (ASReview) for title/abstract screening, PDF conversational interfaces (ChatPDF) for data extraction, and Latent Dirichlet Allocation (LDA) topic modelling paired with Deductive Qualitative Analysis (DQA) for unsupervised data synthesis.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, AI, LIS, ChatGpt, ChatPDF, ASReview, SLR, Chatbots.

Creative Commons (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0)

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0), *which permits others to remix, adapt, and build upon the work non-commercially, provided that appropriate credit is given and that any new creations are licensed under identical terms.*

How to cite this article:

Supriya Bhaskar Kuber. (2026). The Frontier of Research: A Comprehensive Review of AI-Driven Literature Synthesis and Systematic Automation, with Special Reference to Library and Information Science. International Journal of Advance and Applied Research, 13(6), 32 – 39.
https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.21773224

Introduction:

The modern academic landscape is shaped by the "Automated Science" narrative, which highlights AI's transformative impact on research methods. Wagner et al. (2022) identify two perspectives: one envisions an AI-dominated future, while the other acknowledges the gradual integration of AI tools. This review adopts the latter view, focusing on how researchers can leverage these technologies to enhance human creativity.

International Journal of Advance and Applied Research
Peer Reviewed | International Open Access Journal
ISSN: 2347-7075 | Impact Factor – 8.141 | *Website:* https://ijaar.co.in/
Volume-13, Issue-6 | July – August 2026

Artificial Intelligence is formally defined as the branch of computer science focused on enabling machines to mimic human intelligence (Merriam-Webster, 2019). Three key factors support the shift to AI-based literature reviews: the significant time required for traditional reviews, the growing volume of published research, and the "cognitive threshold" where literature in many fields exceeds 10,000 papers, surpassing human processing capacity (Larsen et al., 2019; Wagner et al., 2022).

Theoretical Foundations and Classical AI Taxonomies:

To understand automation today, it is important to categorise the main AI sub-fields related to simulating human cognition. Based on classical taxonomies and the conceptual "AI brain" in the literature [SOURCE_IMAGE_1], the primary components are:

- **Expert Systems:** Computerised knowledge systems designed to serve as advanced interfaces for database access, offering expert-level decisions or advice for specific domain scenarios (Vijayakumar & Sheshadri, 2019).
- **Natural Language Processing (NLP):** Techniques focused on the computational analysis, interpretation, and generation of human language, moving from mere keyword matching to semantic understanding.
- **Speech:** This area of AI focuses on "speech to text" and "speech recognition," converting spoken language into machine-readable data.
- **Robotics:** This subfield manages motor and perceptual tasks using mechanical devices, executing programmed or human-guided automation in physical environments.
- **Vision:** This component includes "image recognition" and "machine vision," enabling systems to interpret visual data. Pattern recognition is central here, identifying features like texture, color, and shape to classify objects.
- **Machine Learning (ML):** Arthur Samuel (1959) defines ML as the ability of computers to learn from experience without explicit programming. ML includes supervised, unsupervised, and reinforcement l**earning**.

These components use foundational techniques like fuzzy logic and artificial neural networks (ANN) to identify hidden relationships in complex, unstructured data.

Foundations of Artificial Intelligence: A Taxonomy for Researchers:

Understanding the historical and technical foundations of AI helps researchers move beyond treating tools as "black boxes" and select the right computational architecture for specific synthesis tasks. Aligning AI categories with research needs allows scholars to optimise the review process.

AI Category	Core Capability	Research Application
Reasoning (CBR/Non-monotonic)	Solves problems by retrieving and reusing solutions from previous cases (Case-Based Reasoning) or maintaining logic under uncertainty.	**Automated diagnosis in knowledge bases**; temporal/spatial reasoning for identifying longitudinal trends in theory evolution.
Genetic Algorithms	Heuristic search algorithms based on natural selection, utilizing reproduction, crossover, and mutation to find optimal solutions.	Optimizing cost-sensitive classifications; solving complex search heuristics for **high-dimensional bibliometric datasets**.

International Journal of Advance and Applied Research
Peer Reviewed | International Open Access Journal
ISSN: 2347-7075 | Impact Factor – 8.141 | *Website: https://ijaar.co.in/*
Volume-13, Issue-6 | July – August 2026

Expert Systems	Software that mimics human expert decision-making within a narrowly defined domain using codified rules.	**Automated decision support**; providing rule-based inclusion/exclusion suggestions for specific domain problems.
Natural Language Processing (NLP)	Computational analysis and generation of natural language to resolve syntactic and semantic ambiguity.	**Semantic indexing** of unstructured text; abstracting meaning from "fuzzy" data to distinguish between homonyms (e.g., "customer review" vs. "literature review").
Knowledge Representation (KR)	Formal modeling of application domains to facilitate structured access to stored information.	Formalizing functional relationships between **theoretical constructs**; creating domain-specific ontologies for IS research.

Two primary capabilities are salient for AILRs: processing **fuzzy, unstructured data** to extract latent semantic meaning and applying **supervised machine learning to replicate researchers' decisions. Machines handle large volumes of data, while researchers provide the training logic for automation. Phases**, each offering varying degrees of automation potential:

1. **Problem Formulation:** AI can help identify research gaps and verify hypotheses. Researchers use Latent Dirichlet Allocation (LDA) models for thematic analysis, allowing topics to emerge from "bags of words" (Wagner et al., 2022).
2. **Searching:** AI-enabled search methods are replacing traditional database queries. **TheoryOn** offers ontology-based searches for behavioral constructs, while **LitSonar** standardizes queries across different database platforms.
3. **Screening:** ML classifiers can prioritize documents by inclusion probability. However, AI-driven screening is more challenging in Information Systems (IS) than in the health sciences due to the lack of standardised taxonomies and the ambiguity of behavioural constructs, which complicate classification for both humans and machines (Wagner et al., 2022, p. 213).
4. **Quality Assessment:** Evaluating methodological flaws remains an expert task. RobotReviewer, however, demonstrates how explainable AI can trace bias ratings to specific text fragments in experimental research.
5. **Data Extraction:** AI is effective at extracting basic data, such as sample sizes, but faces challenges with interpretive synthesis. Tools like **WebPlotDigitizer** support quantitative extraction, while qualitative software (NVivo/ATLAS.ti) is starting to include automated coding.
6. **Analysis/Synthesis:** This phase includes descriptive synthesis, such as text mining, and theory building. Advances in computational grounded theory show that AI can generate theory from large datasets, but it cannot yet explain the underlying reasons for relationships.

Special Reference to Library and Information Science (LIS) Applications:

Applying these systematic methodologies to Library Science highlights AI's impact on service quality and productivity (Vijayakumar & Sheshadri, 2019):

International Journal of Advance and Applied Research
Peer Reviewed | International Open Access Journal
ISSN: 2347-7075 | Impact Factor – 8.141 | *Website:* https://ijaar.co.in/
Volume-13, Issue-6 | July – August 2026

- **Expert Systems in LIS:**
  - **Reference Services:** Advisory systems such as **REFSEARCH**, **PLEXUS**, **POINTER**, and **AMSWERMAN** serve as substitutes for reference librarians in locating resources.
  - **Cataloguing:** Rule-based automation applies AACR2 standards to descriptive cataloguing.
  - **Classification:** Systems such as **Coal SORT** and **EP-X** support advanced knowledge organisation.
- **Indexing and Acquisitions:** The **Med Index** assists in indexing periodicals through controlled vocabulary, while the **Monograph Selection Advisor** supports bibliographers in item-byIndexing and Acquisitions: Med Index helps index periodicals using controlled vocabulary, while the Monograph Selection Advisor assists bibliographers with collection development.Advanced **Recommender Systems:** The **HAMLET** (How about Machine Learning Enhanced Theses) system utilises the **doc2vec** algorithm to estimate document similarity, aiding researchers in navigating massive thesis repositories.

Automated SLR Toolchains and Computational Techniques:

SLRs have evolved from simple word matching to deep semantic understanding, enabled by domain-specific ontologies.

Comparative Summary of AI Tools in the SLR Pipeline:

Tool Name	Primary Function	AI Capability
ASReview	Screening prioritization	ML classifiers (Naive Bayes, Random Forest)
TheoryOn	Literature search	Ontology-based construct discovery
LitSonar	Search query translation	Syntactic translation across databases
RobotReviewer	Quality assessment	Explainable AI for bias detection
LDA Topic Modeling	Data analysis	Latent topic discovery
ChatGPT	General research aid	Large Language Model (LLM) application*
ChatPDF	Document interaction	Semantic document interaction*

**Note: Established tools like ASReview are based on 2022 literature (Wagner et al.), while LLM applications such as ChatGPT represent the latest advancements, connecting earlier automation with current generative capabilities.*

Multi-Level Research Agenda for AILRs:

Advancing AI-based literature reviews (AILRs) requires a research agenda that addresses three levels [SOURCE_IMAGE_2]:

- **Level I: Supporting Infrastructure:** Emphasize input quality (OCR), smart search technologies, and improved interoperability of academic databases through robust APIs.
- **Level II: Methods and Tools:** This level must address the **"automation-augmentation paradox" by balanc**ing simple interfaces for non-experts with the complexity required for advanced users. A human-in-the-loop approach is essential to maintain expert oversight.
- **Level III: Research Practice:** The community should discuss the limits of standardization in IS. Standardizing construct names may help AI extraction but could limit

the nuanced perspectives central to social science research.

Challenges and Conclusion:

The transition to AILRs presents significant challenges. The "black box" nature of deep learning hinders academic transparency. Additionally, the reproducibility crisis in ML is driven by undocumented decisions, such as hyperparameter selection, input normalization, and ad hoc feature engineering (Wagner et al., 2022).

In conclusion, while AI can automate repetitive tasks in the review process, human ingenuity remains essential for scholarly synthesis. AI can identify patterns, but understanding the reasons behind theoretical relationships and interpretive creativity are uniquely human strengths. By following rigorous methodological guidelines and ensuring transparency in algorithmic decisions, the LIS and IS communities can ensure that AI enhances rather than replaces the intellectual values of research.

References:

1. Wagner, G., Lukyanenko, R., & Paré, G. (2022). Artificial intelligence and the conduct of literature reviews. *Journal of Information Technology*, 37(2), 209–226
2. Atkinson, C. F. (2024). Cheap, Quick, and Rigorous: Artificial Intelligence and the Systematic Literature Review. *Social Science Computer Review*, 42(2), 376–393
3. Narendra, A. P., Dewi, C., Gunawan, L. S., & Ardi, A. S. (2025). Artificial Intelligence Implementation in Library Information Systems: Current Trends and Future Studies. *Vietnam Journal of Computer Science*, 12(3), 209–233
4. Asemi, A., Ko, A., & Nowkarizi, M. (2021). Intelligent libraries: a review on expert systems, artificial intelligence, and robot. *Library Hi Tech*, 39(2), 412–434
5. Vijayakumar, S., & Sheshadri, K. N. (2019). Applications of Artificial Intelligence in Academic Libraries. *International Journal of Computer Sciences and Engineering*, 7(Special Issue-16), 136–140
6. Oke, S. A. (2008). A Literature Review on Artificial Intelligence. *International Journal of Information and Management Sciences*, 19(4), 535–570
7. van de Schoot, et.al(2021). An open source machine learning framework for efficient and transparent systematic reviews. *Nature Machine Intelligence*, 3(2), 125–133
8. Li, J., Larsen, K., Abbasi, A., et al. (2020). TheoryOn: A design framework and system for unlocking behavioral knowledge through ontology learning. *MIS Quarterly*, 44(4), 1733–1772
9. Marshall, I. J., Kuiper, J., & Wallace, B. C. (2015). RobotReviewer: Evaluation of a system for automatically assessing bias in clinical trials. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, 23(1), 193–201
10. Sturm, B., & Sunyaev, A. (2018). Design principles for systematic search systems: A holistic synthesis of a rigorous multi-cycle design science research journey. *Business & Information Systems Engineering*, 61(1), 91–111
11. Boell, S. K., & Wang, B. (2019). wwwlitbaskets.io, an IT artifact supporting exploratory literature searches for Information Systems research. *Proceedings of the Pacific Asia Conference on Information Systems (PACIS 2019)*
12. *Larsen, K. R., Hovorka, D., Dennis, A. R., & West, J. D. (2019). Understanding the*

elephant: The discourse approach to boundary identification and corpus construction for theory review articles. Journal of the Association for Information Systems, 20(7), 887–928

13. *Vijayakumar, S., & Sheshadri, K. N. (2019). Applications of Artificial Intelligence in Academic Libraries. International Journal of Computer Sciences and Engineering, 7(Special Issue-16), 136–140*
14. *Yao, F., Zhang, C., & Chen, W. (2015). Smart talking robot Xiaotu: Participatory library service based on artificial intelligence. Library Hi Tech, 33(2), 245–260.*
15. *Wang, S., Scells, H., Koopman, B., & Zuccon, G. (2023). Can ChatGPT write a good Boolean query for systematic review literature search? arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.03495*
16. *Atkinson, C. F. (2024). Cheap, Quick, and Rigorous: Artificial Intelligence and the Systematic Literature Review. Social Science Computer Review, 42(2), 376–393*
17. Mares-Castro, A., Becerra-Rodríguez, M., & Santiago-Montero, R. (2025). Intelligent Motion Classification via Computer Vision for Smart Manufacturing and Ergonomic Risk Prevention in SMEs. Applied Sciences, 15(20), 10914.
18. Liu, Y., & Wu, W. (2026). Ultrasound-<scp>MRI</scp> Fusion Deep Learning Radiomics Nomogram: Refining Prognostic Stratification for Triple-Negative Breast Cancer Treated With Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy. Journal of Ultrasound in Medicine. https://doi.org/10.1002/jum.70268
19. Schuhler, P., & Bowden, V. (2024). Keeping Up with Health Care Literature. Pediatric Nursing, 50(2), 97-100,103.
20. Herrera-Vidal, G., & Coronado-Hernández, J. (2025). Autonomous Mobile Station for Artificial Intelligence Monitoring of Mining Equipment and Risks. Applied Sciences, 15(8), 4197.
21. Bi, S., Wang, C., Zhang, J., Huang, W., Wu, B., Wu, B., Gong, Y., Ni, W., & Ni, W. (2022). A Survey on Artificial Intelligence-Aided Internet-of-Things Technologies in Emerging Smart Libraries. Sensors, 22(8), 2991.
22. Rani, P., Mehta, S., Kathapalia, J., & Tyagi, R. (2023). Impact of Social Media on Physical and Psychological Health of the Students. Indian Journal of Health and Wellbeing, 14(1), 48-52.
23. Yao, Y., Wang, X., & Sun, K. (2025). Research on key factors influencing Chinese designers' use of AIGC: An extension based on TAM and TRI. PLoS One, 20(2), e0314306.
24. Simpamba, M., Colgrove, Y., Wamunyima, M., & Munalula-Nkandu, E. (2025). Mapping strategies, components, and theories used in health education and physical activity interventions to prevent cardiovascular diseases in adults living with HIV: A scoping review protocol. PLoS One, 20(8), e0312969.
25. Shu, T., Wang, Z., Lin, L., Jia, H., & Zhou, J. (2022). Customer Perceived Risk Measurement with NLP Method in Electric Vehicles Consumption Market: Empirical Study from China. Energies, 15(5), 1637.
26. Wagner, G., Lukyanenko, R., & Paré, G. (2024). Artificial intelligence and the conduct of literature reviews. https://doi.org/10.1177/02683962211048201
27. Hirt, J., Meichlinger, J., Schumacher, P., & Mueller, G. (2021). Agreement in Risk of Bias Assessment Between RobotReviewer and Human Reviewers: An Evaluation Study on Randomised Controlled Trials in Nursing-

Related Cochrane Reviews. Journal of Nursing Scholarship, 53(2), 246-254.

28. Falegnami, A., Falegnami, A., Tomassi, A., Corbelli, G., Nucci, F., & Romano, E. (2024). A Generative Artificial-Intelligence-Based Workbench to Test New Methodologies in Organisational Health and Safety. Applied Sciences, 14(24), 11586.
29. Eybers, S., & Giannakopoulos, A. (. (2015). Identifying Critical Success Factors for Business Intelligence Systems. European Conference on Information Management and Evaluation, (), 77-84.
30. Gana, B., Gana, B., Leiva-Araos, A., Leiva-Araos, A., Allende-Cid, H., & García, J. (2024). Leveraging LLMs for Efficient Topic Reviews. Applied Sciences, 14(17), 7675.
31. Leidner, D. (2020). Editorial Reflections: Lockdowns, Slow Downs, and Some Introductions. Journal of the Association for Information Systems, 21(2), 264-267.
32. Jaramillo, M., & Vasquez, J. (2024). A Review of Modern Computational Techniques and Their Role in Power System Stability and Control. Energies, 17(1), 177.
33. Alharbi, F., & Asiri, S. (2024). Automated Assessment of Reporting Completeness in Orthodontic Research Using LLMs: An Observational Study. Applied Sciences, 14(22), 10323.
34. Khadam, U., Davidsson, P., Davidsson, P., & Spalazzese, R. (2024). Exploring the Role of Artificial Intelligence in Internet of Things Systems: A Systematic Mapping Study. Sensors, 24(20), 6511.
35. Khadam, U., Davidsson, P., Davidsson, P., & Spalazzese, R. (2024). Exploring the Role of Artificial Intelligence in Internet of Things Systems: A Systematic Mapping Study. Sensors, 24(20), 6511.
36. Robert Portman - Teesside University's Research Portal https://research.tees.ac.uk/en/persons/robert-portman/
37. Yao, Y., Wang, X., & Sun, K. (2025). Research on key factors influencing Chinese designers' use of AIGC: An extension based on TAM and TRI. PLoS One, 20(2), e0314306.
38. Simpamba, M., Colgrove, Y., Wamunyima, M., & Munalula-Nkandu, E. (2025). Mapping strategies, components, and theories used in health education and physical activity interventions to prevent cardiovascular diseases in adults living with HIV: A scoping review protocol. PLoS One, 20(8), e0312969.
39. Lin, Q., Xie, Y., Zhang, Q., Zhang, J., & Ma, Y. (2025). Multi-feature fusion-based consumer perceived risk prediction and its interpretability study. PLoS One, 20(1), e0316277.
40. Agreement in Risk of Bias Assessment Between RobotReviewer and Human Reviewers: An Evaluation Study on Randomised Controlled Trials in Nursing-Related Cochrane Reviews - Karl Landsteiner University https://kris.kl.ac.at/en/publications/agreement-in-risk-of-bias-assessment-between-robotreviewer-and-hu/
41. Falegnami, A., Falegnami, A., Tomassi, A., Corbelli, G., & Romano, E. (2025). Resilience Analysis Grid–Rasch Rating Scale Model for Measuring Organizational Resilience Potential. Applied Sciences, 15(4), 1695.
42. Gina, B. P. (2021). Factors That Drive the Selection of Business Intelligence Tools in South African Financial Services Providers. https://core.ac.uk/download/479334492.pdf

43. Balamurugan, M. (2025). Stability Analysis of Nonlinear Fluid Flows through Mathematical and Computational Approaches. https://doi.org/10.63278/1437
44. Spalazzese, Romina (0000-0003-0326-0556) https://mau.diva-portal.org/smash/person.jsf?pid=authority-person%3A76234
45. Stabile, S., & Costantino, F. (2025). Assessing Resilience Practices in the Digital Transformation Era: A Storytelling-Based Cross-Sectional Study in Italy. Applied Sciences, 15(11), 6291.
46. Balamurugan, M. (2025). Stability Analysis of Nonlinear Fluid Flows through Mathematical and Computational Approaches. https://doi.org/10.63278/1437
47. L'indagine – FEREO – BRIC 2022 – ID63 https://www.fereo.it/indagine/
48. Mares-Castro, A., Becerra-Rodríguez, M., & Santiago-Montero, R. (2025). Intelligent Motion Classification via Computer Vision for Smart Manufacturing and Ergonomic Risk Prevention in SMEs. Applied Sciences, 15(20), 10914.