



A LITERATURE SURVEY ON SIX SIGMA: KEY FUTURE OF RESEARCH AREAS

Charu Gupta.

*Ph.D. Research Scholar,
Department of Statistics,
Shri. J.J.T.U., Rajasthan, India.*

ABSTRACT:

Given the recent increase in research interest in Six Sigma, it is an opportune time to conduct a comprehensive review of the literature. Approximately 200 Six Sigma articles are reviewed in this study, with each publication being classified according to the substance of the research and the technique used in the research. There is a thorough list of potential future study fields provided. Additional scientific foundation development for the methodology, integration of Six Sigma with other methodologies such as adding Lean Tools, consideration of implementation issues in areas other than North America and Europe, and furthering the debate on how to adapt the approach for use in a service context are just a few examples.

Keywords: *Six Sigma; quality management; process improvement.*

INTRODUCTION:

In the business world, quality administration has for quite some time been laid out as a significant administration methodology for accomplishing upper hand. The conventional quality drives, including Statistical Quality Control (SQC), Zero Defects and Total Quality Management (TQM), have been central participants for a long time, while Six Sigma is one of the later quality improvement drives to acquire prominence and acknowledgment in numerous enterprises across the globe. Prominence has developed as the organizations have embraced Six Sigma guarantee that it centers around expanding the abundance of the investors by further developing primary concern results and accomplishing top notch items/administrations and cycles. Along these lines, it is guaranteed that the execution of Six Sigma acquires better outcomes to

organizations examination with conventional quality drives as far as transforming quality improvement programs into benefits. Examples of overcoming adversity of enormous organizations that have taken on Six Sigma, like Motorola and General Electric (GE), have been accounted for in different papers (Denton, 1991; Hendricks and Kelbaugh, 1998). Be that as it may, such cases lead to various key inquiries for the scholastic local area, for example, whether the achievement is inferable from the Six Sigma philosophy, and provided that this is true, what specific parts of the technique are critical to its prosperity.

SIX SIGMA: KEY CHARACTERISTICS:

The Six Sigma philosophy was presented by Motorola Inc. in the USA in the last part of the 1980s. Its point is to accomplish lower levels of imperfections than had been recently thought to be needed or reasonable. In particular, Six Sigma accomplishment connects with 3.4 Defects Per Million Opportunities (DPMO) (Linderman et al., 2003). To accomplish this objective, an organization interaction and two information driven philosophies have been created. The Six Sigma organization process centers around guaranteeing a fruitful, persistent execution through compelling Top Management administration and the presentation of different new jobs/work titles to help the improvement exercises. For instance, the title of 'Champion' is given to the ranking director who is answerable for the progress of a specific Six Sigma project. The undertaking group pioneer is given a hand to hand fighting title like Black Belt (BB) or Green Belt. Each belt level is given suitable preparation and has an alternate extent of work, including explicit targets.

The Six Sigma philosophies are DMAIC and DFSS. DMAIC is an abbreviation covering five periods of the execution interaction: Define, Measure, Analyze, Improve, Control and is utilized for working on an ongoing cycle or working on existing item/administration execution, which doesn't meet client assumption. Plan For Six Sigma (DFSS) is utilized to configuration/foster another item/administration and additionally new cycles for existing items. DMAIC and DFSS both depend on the utilization of factual apparatuses with a

specific presumption of a 1.5 sigma shift in the process mean while estimating the interaction capacity of Six Sigma. For all relevant information with respect to the philosophies, the organization interaction, the presumption in regards to the change in the process mean and other key attributes of Six Sigma, perusers are alluded to Breyfogle (2003), Tennant (2001) and Eckes (2001).

CLASSIFYING THE LITERATURE:

The Six Sigma writing investigated centers around papers distributed in diaries and prohibits papers distributed on the sites of the Six Sigma people group, for example, isixsigma.com, as they expect to divide thoughts and best practices between individuals from the local area however are ambiguous according to a scholastic perspective. Sorts of distributed papers investigated focus on those in scholastic diaries and gathering procedures, yet additionally remember various papers for modern companion looked into diaries. There are two fundamental justifications for why we included modern companion investigated diaries. It, first and foremost, was thought of as essential to acquire a comprehension of a portion of the down to earth issues from professionals with respect to Six Sigma, in spite of the fact that it is surely known that quite a bit of this proof is narrative and needs scholastic meticulousness. A subsequent explanation is that Six Sigma papers started to show up in 1991, however individuals from the scholastic local area composed not very many papers until the last part of the 1990s. Along these lines to disregard all papers from the modern diaries is overlook all the early proof of Six Sigma. Rather this writing is incorporated, however all through the survey the sort of paper is obviously distinguished alongside the exploration philosophy utilized, if any.

SIX SIGMA DEPLOYMENT:

Papers resolving Six Sigma organization center around individuals issues, with specific accentuation on the expert job of Belts and preparing issues. For instance, creators like de Feo (2000), Hoerl et al. (2001), Hyde (2000) and Caulcutt (2004) portray the job of BBs and the necessary capabilities including the idea of a BB preparing educational program. Hahn et al. (1999) and Hoerl et

al. (2004) recommend that it is a positive profession move for an analyst to take up a position of authority in Six Sigma, suggesting that BB genuinely should have measurable abilities. Be that as it may, care is required in choosing the right characteristics for Belts, as Six Sigma genuinely should hold a comprehensive position as opposed to turning out to be excessively firmly lined up with expert abilities. Caulcutt (2004) recommends the utilization of Myers-Briggs Type Indicator (MBTI) instrument to help BBs to work successfully with others. It is guaranteed that this instrument assists BBs with understanding the character kinds of colleagues and impart all the more successfully, gain collaboration and conquer obstruction. Be that as it may, these papers are unmistakable utilizing creators' insight. Along these lines further exploration is expected to research the characteristics expected by the Belt up-and-comers. Further reference to preparing issues is given in Delsanter (1992), Hahn et al. (2001) and Snee (2000a) who center around the significance of giving Six Sigma preparing to Belts and different representatives. They additionally recommend educational program for belt experts and for representatives at lower levels in the association. Further thorough exploration is expected to research proof for the viability of the proposed preparing strategies.

NEW SIX SIGMA MODELS:

The survey found two papers introducing an augmentation of the conventional Six Sigma model (Kuei and Madu, 2003; Basu, 2004). Kuei and Madu (2003) present Customer-driven Six Sigma Quality Management (CSSQM), which they guarantee presents an all encompassing perspective on quality by zeroing in on accomplishing both item and interaction quality. There are numerous similitudes between the conventional Six Sigma and CSSQM, like the job of administration and utilization of DMAIC. Be that as it may, CSSQM underscores connecting all partners including providers and clients. They recommend providers ought to be prepared in CSSQM and clients ought to be educated and ready to take an interest simultaneously. Subsequently, the thing that matters is in the Six Sigma organization process. Basu (2004) proposes FIT SIGMA, an incorporated Six Sigma and Lean model that expects to accomplish

every one of the three quality components of item quality, process quality and authoritative quality to give an all encompassing functional greatness program. The two models are introduced theoretically; further review could be to research their suggestions with observational proof.

Financial Performance:

Four papers have been distributed as of late, which center around concentrating on the connection between Six Sigma and company monetary execution (Bisgaard and Freiesleben, 2004; Goh et al., 2003; Harry, 2000e; Motwani et al., 2004). Goh et al. (2003) have directed an exploratory concentrate on the effect of Six Sigma execution on stock cost execution. This study presents a viewpoint of the effect of Six Sigma on organization monetary execution utilizing a large scale view as opposed to an undertaking by-project miniature perspective on execution estimation. Motwani et al. (2004) propose a structure for assessing the effect of execution factors on an organization's presentation for a situation learn at Dow Chemical. Harry (2000e) concentrates on the connection between quality administration and monetary execution for a business in the USA. Bisgaard and Freiesleben (2004) propose an administrative bookkeeping structure that can be adjusted to a quality setting to assess the monetary impacts of Six Sigma.

A longitudinal report might give better understanding into the impacts of Six Sigma on long haul organization execution. Notwithstanding, it should be recognized that the general effect of one drive in an association is incredibly challenging to pass judgment, given the numerous different variables that influence execution, including other interior drives and the outside issues, for example, the financial climate which is past the organizations control.

Customer Satisfaction:

It very well may be contended that the fundamental focal point of Six Sigma is process improvement, which thusly ought to prompt expanded consumer loyalty. Without a doubt, a few papers have been distinguished that make sense of how Six Sigma can address this essential objective in different settings (Behara et al., 1995; Rucker, 2000; Watson, 2000; Woodall, 2001). For instance, Watson (2000) portrays how Six Sigma could prompt enhancements in

the two investors worth and consumer loyalty. Rucker (2000) presents a business instance of Citibank utilizing Six Sigma to further develop complete consumer loyalty through imperfection and process duration decrease. Behara et al. (1995) present a comparative report in a super advanced assembling organization in the USA during 1991 and 1992. Woodall (2001) presents a theoretical paper on the chance of conveying the Six Sigma philosophy to accomplish wonderful specialized and utilitarian quality, which are the essential parts of administration quality inside the Christian Grönroos setting. There is extension to proceed with investigations of this kind, confirming the ideas proposed. Furthermore, further review could be attempted on different parts of improving and estimating administration quality to increment consumer loyalty, for example, utilizing Six Sigma to further develop administration quality aspects in light of the model by Parasuraman et al. (1985).

CONCLUSION:

Taking into account the examination holes distinguished in every subject, a few consistent themes have been recognized. First and foremost, there is the requirement for more observational investigation into the Six Sigma peculiarity, utilizing thorough exploration techniques to approve the numerous unsupported Six Sigma claims and to test new speculations or models that have been proposed to reinforce the philosophy. This would permit the structure of a sound starting point for Six Sigma well as giving open doors to scholastic specialists to work close by industry in making progress toward functional greatness. Furthermore, the exploration domain to date has been ordinarily found to zero in on the execution of Six Sigma inside the North America district with a couple of concentrates in Europe and Asia. Given the globalization of many organizations, including those utilizing Six Sigma, concentrate on in different regions of the planet is expected to acquire bits of knowledge into social issues that might influence the hypothesis and practice of Six Sigma. Thirdly, there is a continuous discussion with respect to the utilization of Six Sigma in non-fabricating. The examination holes highlight the need to research this questionable issue in a more thorough way. At long last, it is recommended that it isn't advantageous to

keep on concentrating on every one of the issues distinguished in the writing, specifically there is no requirement for additional papers to characterize the underlying idea or check out at hypothetical examinations with different philosophies. Considering that Six Sigma philosophy has been around in industry for north of 10 years, it currently appears to be insignificant to decide if Six Sigma is superior to different methodologies. It is more essential to figure out how to upgrade the Six Sigma philosophy and further develop execution issues for the developing number of firms that are deciding to embrace it for the purpose of interaction improvement.

REFERENCES:

- [1]. Abernathy, D.P. (2001) 'Avoiding unintended consequences: managing 'Anti-Ys' in a Six Sigma project', Annual Quality Congress Proceedings, Milwaukee, pp.561–567.
- [2]. Adams, L. (2002) 'Whom do you trust?', Quality, Vol. 41, No. 13, p.6.
Amelsberg, J. (2002) 'Systematic performance and cost management: a management framework for organisational excellence', Annual Quality Congress Proceedings, Milwaukee, pp.487–500.
- [3]. Anderson, M.J. and Kraber, S.L. (2002) 'Cost-effective and information-efficient robust design for optimizing processes and accomplishing Six Sigma objectives', Annual Quality Congress Proceedings, Milwaukee, pp.141–149.
- [4]. Anonymous (2002) '12 Critical Success Factors for Six Sigma effectiveness', Measuring Business Excellence, Vol. 6, No. 3, pp.68–69.
- [5]. Anonymous (2003a) 'News focus: study of Six Sigma reveals gains and missed potential', Strategic Direction, Vol. 19, No. 8, pp.34–36.
- [6]. Anonymous (2003b) 'Logistics at the crossroads', Logistics and Transport Focus, Vol. 5, No. 3, p.11.
- [7]. Anonymous (2003c) 'Software for Six Sigma contains specialized tools', Quality Progress, Vol. 36, No. 6, p.95.
- [8]. Antony, J. (2002) 'Design For Six Sigma: a breakthrough business improvement strategy for achieving competitive advantage', Work Study, Vol. 51, No. 1, pp.6–8.
- [9]. Antony, J. (2004a) 'Some pros and cons of Six Sigma: an academic perspective', The TQM Magazine, Vol. 16, No. 4, pp.303–306.
- [10]. Antony, J. (2004b) 'Six Sigma in the UK service organisations: results from a pilot survey', Managerial Auditing Journal, Vol. 19, Nos. 8–9, pp.1006–1013.

- [11]. Basu, R. (2001) 'Six Sigma to fit sigma', IIE Solution, Vol. 33, No. 7, pp.28–34. Basu, R. (2004) 'Six Sigma to operational excellence: role of tools and techniques', International Journal of Six Sigma and Competitive Advantage, Vol. 1, No. 1, pp.44–64.
- [12]. Behara, R.S., Fontenot, G.F. and Gresham, A. (1995) 'Customer satisfaction measurement and analysis using Six Sigma', The International Journal of Quality and Reliability Management, Vol. 12, No. 3, pp.9–18.
- [13]. Benedetto, A.R. (2003) 'Adapting manufacturing-based Six Sigma methodology to the service environment of a radiology film library', Journal of Healthcare Management, Vol. 48, No. 4, pp.263–280.
- [14]. Bentley, J. (1992) 'New product introduction: speed, quality and cost', Assembly Automation, Vol. 12, No. 2, pp.3, 4.
- [15]. Biedry, J. (2001) 'Linking Six Sigma analysis with human creativity', Journal of Quality and Participation, Vol. 24, No. 4, pp.36–39.
- [16]. Choo, A., Linderman, K. and Schroeder, R.G. (2004) 'Social and method effects on learning behaviors and knowledge creation in Six Sigma projects', Academy of Management Proceedings: 2004, pp.C1–C6.
- [17]. Conklin, J.D. (2004) 'DOE and Six Sigma', Quality Progress, Vol. 37, No. 3, pp.66–69. Cooper, A.H. (2002) 'Six Sigma deployment in a large integrated health system', Annual Quality Congress Proceedings, Milwaukee, pp.71–77.
- [18]. Cooper, N.P. (2003) 'Do teams and Six Sigma go together?', Quality Progress, Vol. 36, No. 6, pp.25–30.
- [19]. Coronado, R.B. and Antony, J. (2002) 'Critical Success Factors for the successful implementation of Six Sigma projects in organisations', The TQM Magazine, Vol. 14, No. 2, pp.92–99.
- [20]. Hoerl, R.W., Montgomery, D.C., Lawson, C. and Molnau, W.E. (2001) 'Six Sigma Black Belts: what do they need to know?', Journal of Quality Technology, Vol. 33, No. 4, pp.391–406.
- [21]. Hoerl, R.W., Rodebaugh, W. and Snee, R.D. (2004) 'Six Sigma and statistical leadership', Annual Quality Congress Proceedings, Milwaukee, pp.385–389.
- [22]. Hong, G.Y. and Goh, T.N. (2003) 'Six Sigma in software quality', The TQM Magazine, Vol. 15, No. 6, pp.364–373.
- [23]. Yang, K. (2004b) 'Multivariate statistical methods and Six Sigma', International Journal of Six Sigma and Competitive Advantage, Vol. 1, No. 1, pp.76–96.
- [24]. Zinkgraf, S.A. (1998) 'An overview of operational excellence and Six Sigma in AlliedSignal', Annual Quality Congress Proceedings, Milwaukee, pp.173–175.