International Journal of Advance and Applied Research
www.ijaar.co.in
ISSN – 2347-7075 Impact Factor – 8.141
Peer Reviewed Bi-Monthly
Vol. 6 No. 42 November - December - 2025

Gender-Sensitive AI-Powered Risk Analysis for Women Entrepreneurs: A Study of the Pune Fintech Ecosystem

Mrs. Jyoti Sharad Dhoble[1] & Dr. Kishor Nivrutti Jagtap[2]
[1]*Research Scholar,*
A.B.M.S.Parishad's Shri Shahu Mandir Mahavidyalaya, Parvati, Pune
[2]*Former Principal,*
Smt. C. K. Goyal College, Pune Director, SNG Institute of Management and Research, Pune
Corresponding Author – Mrs. Jyoti Sharad Dhoble
DOI - 10.5281/zenodo.17986892

Abstract:

The symbiotic evolution of financial technology (Fintech) and artificial intelligence (AI) presents a transformative opportunity to dismantle the structural inequities that have historically marginalized women entrepreneurs in India. As the nation pivots toward the ambitious "Viksit Bharat 2047" vision, the economic integration of women—who currently own less than 20% of MSMEs—becomes a non-negotiable imperative. This research paper investigates the efficacy, adoption mechanics, and socio-economic impact of AI-powered risk analysis tools within the Pune fintech ecosystem, a geography uniquely characterized by its confluence of traditional manufacturing heritage and nascent digital innovation. Specifically, the study addresses the "thin-file" syndrome, where the lack of formal credit history excludes solvent women from the formal lending ambit, and explores how alternative data ingested by machine learning algorithms can bridge the estimated $20 billion gender credit gap. Utilizing a rigorous quantitative framework based on the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT2), this research analyzes data from 366 women entrepreneurs across Pune's urban and peri-urban clusters. The findings reveal a complex paradox: while Performance Expectancy and Social Influence act as strong catalysts for adoption, deep-seated anxieties regarding Algorithmic Bias and Data Privacy—manifesting as a "Trust Deficit"—remain potent inhibitors. The paper concludes by proposing a "Gender-Sensitive AI" framework, arguing that algorithmic neutrality is a fallacy and that affirmative, transparent design choices are essential to convert digital footprints into financial capital.

Keywords: Women Entrepreneurs, Fintech, Artificial Intelligence, Algorithmic Bias, Credit Risk Analysis, Financial Inclusion, Pune, UTAUT2, Gender Economics.

Introduction:

The narrative of India's economic ascent is frequently punctuated by the lexicon of digital transformation, yet the subtext often reveals a lingering, gendered dichotomy. While the macro- indicators of the Indian economy display resilience, with projections of the fintech sector alone generating up to $200 billion in revenue by 2030 , the micro-realities for women entrepreneurs tell a story of persistent friction. In the state of Maharashtra, a formidable engine of India's GDP, the landscape of female entrepreneurship is evolving but remains fraught with systemic inertia. This paper centers its inquiry on

Pune, a district that serves as a microcosm of this transition—a city where the legacy of the "Oxford of the East" meets the aggressive dynamism of a burgeoning fintech hub, yet where women-owned establishments constitute only approximately 8% of the total, lagging behind even agrarian districts like Kolhapur.

The Macro-Economic Imperative: Viksit Bharat and the Missing Middle:

The roadmap to "Viksit Bharat 2047" (Developed India 2047) is predicated not merely on industrial output but on inclusive growth. The financial services sector is envisioned as a fundamental element of this transformation, fostering inclusivity through Digital Public Infrastructure (DPI). However, current statistics reveal a "missing middle" in women's entrepreneurship. While there is significant participation in subsistence-level activities—such as sericulture and handicrafts, where women constitute over 50% of the workforce —the transition to formal, growth-oriented Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) is stifled.

Data from the Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation (MoSPI) indicates that while women's participation in corporate leadership is rising, their ownership of proprietary establishments in the non-agricultural sector hovers around 20-22% nationally. In Maharashtra, despite being a leading industrial state, the "Women Entrepreneurship Scorecard" highlights that infrastructure-rich districts like Pune have not fully translated their resources into equitable ownership rates for women. This points to a failure of the traditional financial mechanism: women are educated and skilled, yet they are capital-starved.

The Core Problem: The "Thin File" and Statistical Discrimination:

The primary barrier to capital access for women is the "Thin File" problem. Traditional banking risk analysis relies heavily on historical credit data—repayment history of previous loans, credit card usage, and collateral ownership. In the Indian context, patriarchal norms governing property inheritance often leave women without land titles to pledge as collateral, effectively rendering them "high risk" in the eyes of a secured lender. Furthermore, many women entrepreneurs operate in the cash economy or utilize informal savings mechanisms (like Bhishi or Chit Funds), which, while demonstrating solvency and discipline, do not generate the CIBIL scores required by formal banks.

This results in a form of statistical discrimination. A woman with a thriving boutique or a home- based catering business may have excellent cash flow, but her "financial identity" is invisible to the banking algorithm. She is rejected not because she is a bad borrower, but because she is an "unknown" entity. The International Finance Corporation (IFC) estimates this gender credit gap at $20 billion in India alone, a chasm that traditional banking models have failed to bridge.

The Technological Intervention: AI as the Great Equalizer?

Enter Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning (AI/ML). The promise of fintech lies in its ability to process

"alternative data"—unstructured datasets that traditional banks ignore. This includes utility bill payments, mobile phone usage patterns, psychometric test results, and even social network stability. Algorithms such as Random Forests or Neural Networks can ingest these thousands of data points to construct a "proxy credit score" for a thin-file customer.

For a woman entrepreneur in Pune, this means her consistent payment of electricity bills, her digitized supplier payments via UPI (Unified Payments Interface), and her stable digital footprint could theoretically unlock unsecured credit. Fintech firms like Fibe (formerly EarlySalary), headquartered in Pune, and other players in the ecosystem are pioneering these "Cash-Flow Based Lending" models.

However, this technological optimism must be tempered with caution. The emergence of "Algorithmic Bias" poses a severe risk. If the AI models are trained on historical data from a banking sector that has systematically discriminated against women, the algorithms may "learn" to associate female gender markers with lower creditworthiness, thereby automating and scaling inequality rather than erasing it.

The Pune Context: A Unique Laboratory:

Pune provides an ideal setting for this research due to its dualistic economy. It is home to traditional industrial clusters (Pimpri-Chinchwad) where women have long worked in auto-ancillaries, and a vibrant startup ecosystem supported by enablers like TiE Pune and the Mahratta Chamber of Commerce, Industries and Agriculture (MCCIA). The interplay between these established industrial bodies and new-age fintech startups creates a dynamic environment to study technology acceptance. Unlike metropolitan Mumbai, which is dominated by high finance, Pune's economy is deeply rooted in MSMEs, making the findings of this study highly relevant for the broader Indian semi-urban landscape.

Research Significance and Scope:

This paper seeks to bridge the gap between the technical literature on algorithmic fairness and the developmental literature on financial inclusion. It posits that "Gender-Sensitive AI" is not merely an ethical add-on but a commercial necessity for accurate risk pricing. By focusing on the user perspective—the women entrepreneurs themselves—using the UTAUT2 framework, this study moves beyond the supply-side rhetoric of fintech companies to understand the demand-side realities of trust, utility, and fear

Literature Review:

The following review synthesizes disparate strands of literature from development economics, computer science, and behavioral finance, spanning the years 2000 to 2025. It identifies the convergence of gendered economic exclusion and algorithmic decision-making as a critical frontier for academic inquiry.

The Gendered Landscape of Financial Exclusion:

The historical exclusion of women from formal financial systems is well-documented. World Bank studies and reports by the OECD (2024) consistently highlight that while access to basic savings accounts has improved—largely due to India's

Pradhan Mantri Jan Dhan Yojana (PMJDY)— "meaningful inclusion" remains elusive. Grant Thornton (2024) notes that while 86% of Indian women own bank accounts, usage is sporadic, and the "credit gap" persists because savings do not automatically translate into lending eligibility.

The literature identifies "collateral constraints" as the primary bottleneck. Research published in The Journal of Emerging Trends in Economics and Management Sciences emphasizes that women's lack of property rights acts as a structural barrier to traditional capital. This forces women into the "informal" credit market, where interest rates are usurious, or into microfinance, which typically caps loan amounts below what is needed for genuine business scaling. The "Missing Middle" phenomenon—where women are stuck between micro-credit (too small) and commercial banking (too inaccessible)—is a recurring theme in the analysis of India's MSME sector.

Artificial Intelligence in Credit Risk Modeling:

The shift from traditional scorecard models (like logistic regression) to Machine Learning (ML) techniques represents a paradigm shift in finance. Literature from the Journal of Risk and Financial Management (2025) and MIS Quarterly (2022) documents how ML models—specifically Gradient Boosted Trees and Neural Networks—can improve default prediction accuracy by 15- 20% over traditional models.

Crucially, these models allow for the ingestion of "Alternative Data." A seminal report by Women's World Banking (2021) outlines how digital footprints can serve as proxies for character and capacity. For example, the regularity of mobile top-ups can predict cash flow stability for a micro-entrepreneur who lacks a formal ledger. This "Information Substitution" is theoretically the key to unlocking credit for the "thin-file" demographic.

However, the literature is deeply divided on the outcome. While optimists argue that algorithms are "blind" to gender and thus fairer than human loan officers who may harbor conscious biases , critical data scholars warn of "Proxy Discrimination." A 2025 study in arXiv demonstrates that even when gender is removed from the dataset, algorithms can latch onto proxies—such as "type of business" (e.g., beauty parlor vs. welding shop) or "transaction times" (reflecting childcare duties)—to replicate historical biases. The consensus emerging in 2024-2025 literature is that "fairness through unawareness" (simply hiding gender) is insufficient; active "fairness constraints" must be programmed into the model.

The "Trust" Deficit in Fintech Adoption:

Adopting high-tech financial tools requires more than just access; it requires acceptance. The Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT), and its consumer-focused extension UTAUT2, provides the theoretical scaffolding for understanding this dynamic.

Recent studies applying UTAUT to fintech in India (2023-2025) suggest that the standard predictors—Performance Expectancy (PE) and Effort Expectancy (EE)—are insufficient to explain women's behavior. Research by Jena (2025) and others indicates

that **Trust** and **Facilitating Conditions** are disproportionately important for women. Given the lower baseline of digital financial literacy among women entrepreneurs—often termed the "second digital divide"—the fear of fraud, data misuse, and algorithmic opacity creates a significant psychological barrier.

The literature also points to the role of "Social Influence." In collectivist cultures like India, and specifically within the tight-knit business communities of Pune, peer endorsement and the role of intermediaries (like Self-Help Groups or Chambers of Commerce) play a crucial role in mediating technology trust.

Policy and Ecosystem in Maharashtra:

Finally, the review considers the specific policy environment. The Maharashtra State Innovation Society (MSInS) and schemes like the "Mahila Samridhi Yojana" provide a subsidy framework, yet uptake is often hampered by bureaucratic complexity. The literature suggests a disconnect between policy intent (capital availability) and policy execution (accessibility mechanisms). Reports from TiE Pune (2024) suggest that mentorship and networking are as critical as capital, yet most government schemes focus purely on the financial aspect, ignoring the "social capital" deficit women face.

Gap Identification:

While substantial literature exists on AI bias and separate literature exists on women's financial exclusion, there is a paucity of empirical work that connects these two specifically within the context of Tier-1 Indian cities like Pune, where the digital infrastructure is high but the gender gap persists. This study aims to fill that void.

Objectives & Hypotheses:

The primary aim of this research is to deconstruct the mechanism of trust and adoption regarding AI-powered financial tools among women entrepreneurs in Pune. The study seeks to move beyond binary metrics of "access" to understand the quality of that access and the perception of the algorithmic "black box."

Research Objectives:

1. **To Map the Awareness Landscape:** To assess the current level of awareness among women entrepreneurs in Pune regarding AI-driven "alternative credit scoring" mechanisms.
2. **To Evaluate Adoption Drivers:** To identify the key structural and psychological determinants—ranging from digital literacy to trust in technology—that influence the adoption of fintech lending solutions.
3. **To Test the "Gender-Sensitivity" Hypothesis:** To determine if the perception of an AI tool as "gender-sensitive" or "fair" significantly alters the intention to use it compared to generic fintech tools.
4. **To Analyze the "Thin File" Reality:** To quantify the prevalence of "thin file" constraints among solvent women entrepreneurs in Pune and correlate this with their willingness to share private alternative data (utility bills, psychometrics).

Hypotheses Development:

Based on the UTAUT2 framework and the specific themes identified in the literature, the following hypotheses are proposed:

- **H1 (Performance Expectancy):** There is a significant positive relationship between the perceived efficiency of AI-driven loan processing (speed and convenience) and the behavioral intention to use fintech platforms among women entrepreneurs.

Rationale: Time poverty is a major constraint for women; tools that reduce bureaucratic wait times should be highly valued.

- **H2 (Effort Expectancy):** The perceived complexity of fintech user interfaces has a significant negative impact on adoption intention.

Rationale: Despite high general literacy in Pune, specific digital financial literacy may lag, making ease-of-use critical.

- **H3 (Social Influence):** Recommendations from trusted community networks (e.g., MCCIA, TiE, SHGs) positively influence the adoption intention of AI financial tools.

Rationale: Trust in finance is often transitive, flowing from human peers to digital systems.

- **H4 (Facilitating Conditions):** The availability of support infrastructure (human customer service, training) positively moderates the relationship between digital literacy and adoption.

Rationale: "Tech-touch" models are preferred over pure-play tech for high-stakes decisions like credit.

- **H5 (Trust in AI Transparency):** Perceived transparency of the AI algorithm (Explainable AI) is positively correlated with the intention to share alternative data.

Rationale: The "Black Box" nature of AI creates anxiety; understanding why a decision was made builds trust.

- **H6 (The Thin-File Moderator):** Women with "thin credit files" (no CIBIL history) will show higher intention to adopt alternative data lending than those with established credit histories.

Rationale: Necessity drives innovation adoption; those excluded by the mainstream are more likely to embrace the alternative.

Research Methodology:

This study adopts a positivist research philosophy, employing a quantitative approach to empirically test the proposed hypotheses. The methodology is designed to ensure statistical rigor while capturing the specific demographic nuances of the Pune district.

Research Design:

A descriptive and causal research design was utilized. The descriptive phase focused on mapping the demographic and financial profile of the respondents, while the causal phase employed Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) to examine the strength and direction of relationships

between the UTAUT2 constructs and the dependent variable (Intention to Use).

Sampling Strategy and Size:

Target Population: The universe for this study comprises women entrepreneurs owning and operating MSMEs in the Pune District, encompassing the Pune Municipal Corporation (PMC), Pimpri-Chinchwad Municipal Corporation (PCMC), and adjacent peri-urban talukas (Haveli, Mulshi).

Sample Size Calculation: Given that the exact population of unregistered women entrepreneurs is unknown (infinite population assumption), **Cochran's Formula** was employed :

Where = 1.96 (for 95% confidence level).

= 0.5 (assumed variability to maximize sample size).

= 0.5 (1-p).

= 0.0512 (margin of error).

This calculation yields a target sample size of roughly 366.

Sampling Technique: A **Stratified Random Sampling** method was used to ensure representation across key strata:

- **Geography:** Urban (Pune City/PCMC) vs. Peri-Urban.
- **Sector:** Manufacturing, Services, and Trading. The stratification ensures that the study captures the diverse economic reality of Pune, from the tech-savvy service providers in Aundh/Baner to the manufacturing units in the Bhosari industrial belt.

Data Collection Instrument:

A structured questionnaire was developed as the primary data collection tool, comprising three distinct sections:

- **Section A: Demographic & Business Profile:** Captured age, education, business vintage, annual turnover, registration status (Udyam/Shop Act), and current sources of finance.
- **Section B: Financial Behavior & "Thin File" Status:** Assessing frequency of digital transactions, history of loan rejections, and possession of traditional collateral.
- **Section C: Psychometric Scales (UTAUT2):** Adapted from Venkatesh et al. (2012) and recent fintech studies. Items were measured on a 5-point Likert scale (1 = Strongly Disagree to 5 = Strongly Agree).
- Performance Expectancy (PE): e.g., "Using AI loan apps would allow me to get funds faster."
- Trust in AI (TR): e.g., "I worry that AI algorithms might be biased against women."
- Social Influence (SI): e.g., "I would use this app if recommended by the MCCIA women's wing."

Data Collection Procedure:

The data collection was conducted over a six-month period (January 2024 – June 2024). A mixed- mode administration strategy was employed to minimize coverage bias:

- **Digital Distribution:** The survey link was disseminated through the email newsletters of **TiE Pune** and **MCCIA**'s

Centre of Excellence for Women Entrepreneurs (CEWE).

- **Physical Intercepts:** Field researchers visited industrial estates in **Bhosari** and **Hadapsar**, as well as women's networking events, to collect data via tablets. This was crucial to include entrepreneurs who may be less digitally active and thus more likely to suffer from the "thin file" problem.
- **Ethical Considerations:** Informed consent was obtained from all participants. Given the sensitive nature of financial data, respondents were assured of strict anonymity. No personally identifiable financial information (like PAN or Bank Account numbers) was collected; only behavioral aggregates were recorded.

Data Analysis:

The collected data was subjected to rigorous statistical analysis using IBM SPSS Statistics (v28) and AMOS. The analysis followed a sequential approach: data cleaning, descriptive profiling, reliability testing, and inferential hypothesis testing.

Demographic Profile of Respondents:

The final dataset comprised 366 valid responses. The demographic distribution reflects the unique socio-economic fabric of Pune.

Table 1: Demographic Profile of Women Entrepreneurs (N=366)

Variable	Category	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Age Group	18-25 Years	42	11.5%
	26-35 Years	128	35.0%
	36-45 Years	146	39.9%
	46+ Years	50	13.6%
Education Level	High School / Diploma	38	10.4%
	Graduate	192	52.5%
	Post-Graduate / Professional	136	37.1%
Business Location	Pune City (Urban)	210	57.4%
	PCMC (Industrial Hub)	95	25.9%
	Peri-Urban (Haveli/Mulshi)	61	16.7%
Industry Sector	Services (IT, Consulting, Salon)	205	56.0%
	Manufacturing / Food Processing	98	26.8%
	Trading / Retail	63	17.2%
Business Vintage	Start-up (< 2 Years)	112	30.6%
	Growth Stage (2-5 Years)	148	40.4%
	Established (> 5 Years)	106	29.0%

The sample is highly educated, with nearly 90% holding a graduate degree or higher. This aligns with Pune's status as an educational hub. The dominance of the service sector (56%) is consistent with national trends for women entrepreneurs who often gravitate towards low-capex businesses. However, the significant representation (26.8%) from Manufacturing highlights Pune's industrial character.

Financial Profile and "Thin File" Analysis:

To contextualize the need for AI risk analysis, respondents were queried about their credit experiences.

Table 2: Sources of Capital and Credit Challenges

Variable	Response	Frequency	Percentage
Primary Source of Capital	Personal Savings / Family	245	66.9%
	Formal Bank Loan	68	18.6%
	Fintech / Digital Lenders	35	9.6%
	Government Schemes (Mudra etc.)	18	4.9%
Primary Barrier to Credit	Lack of Collateral	158	43.2%
	"Thin File" (No Credit History)	**110**	**30.0%**
	Complex Documentation	62	16.9%
	High Interest Rates	36	9.9%

The data reveals a stark reality: nearly two-thirds of these businesses are self-funded. The reliance on government schemes is remarkably low (4.9%), despite awareness drives. Most critically, 30% of respondents explicitly identified the "Thin File" issue—lack of credit history—as their primary barrier. This validates the central premise of the study: there is a substantial segment of solvent but "invisible" borrowers.

Reliability Analysis:

The internal consistency of the psychometric scales was tested using Cronbach's Alpha ().

Table 3: Reliability Statistics for Constructs

Construct	No. of Items	Cronbach's	Interpretation
Performance Expectancy (PE)	4	0.842	High Reliability
Effort Expectancy (EE)	4	0.789	Acceptable
Social Influence (SI)	3	0.815	Good
Facilitating Conditions (FC)	4	0.765	Acceptable
Trust in AI (TR)	5	0.881	High Reliability
Total Instrument	**20**	**0.866**	**Strong Consistency**

All constructs exceeded the standard threshold of 0.70, confirming that the instrument was reliable for hypothesis testing.

Hypothesis Testing:

A Multiple Linear Regression analysis was conducted with **Intention to Use (IU)** as the dependent variable and the UTAUT constructs as independent variables.

- **Model Fit:** (Adjusted), indicating that the model explains 61.2% of the variance in the intention to adopt AI fintech tools.
- **F-Statistic:** 112.45 (), confirming the model is statistically significant.

Table 4: Regression Analysis Results

Hypothesis	Path Relationship	Beta Coeff. ()	t-value	Sig. ()	Decision
H1	PE Intention to Use	0.312	5.431	0.000	**Supported**
H2	EE Intention to Use	0.105	1.890	0.059	Rejected
H3	SI Intention to Use	0.285	4.922	0.000	**Supported**
H4	FC Intention to Use	0.158	2.650	0.008	**Supported**
H5	Trust (TR) Intention to Use	0.410	6.780	0.000	**Supported**

Detailed Interpretation of Results:

The Primacy of Trust (H5 Supported): The strongest predictor of adoption was Trust in AI (). This is a critical finding. In the context of Pune, where cybersecurity incidents are frequently reported in local media , women entrepreneurs are hyper-cautious. The qualitative feedback gathered during the survey indicated a fear of "Digital Redlining"—the worry that sharing alternative data (like GPS location or social contacts) might lead to harassment or privacy breaches rather than a loan. The "Black Box" opacity of AI models exacerbates this; if a woman doesn't know why she was rejected, she assumes bias or error.

Efficiency over Ease (H1 Supported vs. H2 Rejected): Performance Expectancy was a strong driver (). Women entrepreneurs, often juggling domestic responsibilities alongside business (the "double burden"), value the speed of AI. A loan approval in 24 hours is a massive value proposition compared to the 4-6 week turnaround of nationalized banks. Interestingly, Effort Expectancy (Ease of use) was not significant (). This suggests that the respondents—mostly graduates—are digitally literate enough to navigate complex interfaces if the utility is high. They don't need the app to be simple; they need it to be effective.

The Network Effect (H3 Supported): Social Influence () highlights the communitarian nature of business in Pune. The endorsement of a platform by a credible body like MCCIA or TiE acts as a powerful heuristic for trust. If a "Drone Didi" or a successful peer in a WhatsApp group vouches for a fintech app, adoption likelihood spikes.

The "Thin File" Opportunity (H6 Analysis): A separate Chi-Square analysis tested H6. Respondents were categorized into "Thin File" (No Credit History) and "Thick File" (Existing Loans).

Result: Thin File respondents were 3.5 times more likely () to consent to sharing alternative data (utility bills, psychometrics) than Thick File respondents.

Implication: Necessity drives disclosure. The segment most excluded by traditional banks is the most open to AI surveillance, provided it leads to capital access. This confirms the market viability of alternative scoring models for this specific demographic.

Findings:

The synthesis of the data analysis yields several profound insights into the Pune fintech ecosystem, revealing a tension between technological capability and sociological reality.

The "Trust-Efficiency" Paradox:

The study uncovers a paradox: while women entrepreneurs in Pune desperately need the efficiency and speed that AI lending offers (Performance Expectancy), they are simultaneously paralyzed by a lack of trust in the underlying algorithms (Trust Deficit). They perceive AI not just as a tool, but as an opaque arbiter of their financial destiny. The fear is not that the AI will fail, but that it will succeed in identifying them as "risky" based on biased parameters they cannot control or understand. This aligns with global findings on algorithmic bias but

places it in a specific local context where recourse mechanisms are weak.

The "Phygital" Bridge:

The significance of Social Influence (H3) and Facilitating Conditions (H4) points to the failure of "pure-play" digital models. Women entrepreneurs in Pune do not want a faceless app; they want a "Tech-Touch" experience. Fintechs that succeed in this market are likely those that hybridize their approach—using AI for the back-end risk scoring but retaining a human or community interface (like an MCCIA workshop or a TiE mentor) for the front-end trust building. The reliance on networks suggests that B2B2C (Business-to-Business-to-Consumer) models, where fintechs partner with associations, will outperform direct B2C marketing.

Implicit Bias in "Gender-Blind" AI:

A disturbing qualitative finding emerged from the "Trust" section: many women suspect that even "gender-blind" apps are biased. They noted that some apps ask for "Spouse's Name" or "Father's Name" as mandatory fields, or require operating hours data that penalizes businesses run from home (which often have irregular hours). This confirms the literature's warning about "proxy discrimination." An AI that optimizes for "standard business hours" (9-5) will inherently downgrade a woman entrepreneur who works 10-3 (while kids are at school) and 9-11 PM (after bedtime), even if her output is identical to a male peer.

The "Data-Rich" Reality of the "Thin-File" Borrower:

The study validates that the "Thin File" label is a misnomer. These women are not "data-poor"; they are simply "CIBIL-poor." They generate rich alternative data streams:

- UPI Intensity: High frequency of small-ticket vendor payments.
- Utility Consistency: Near-perfect records of electricity and mobile bill payments (often managed by the woman for the entire household).
- Social Stability: Long-standing active phone numbers and stable social graph connections. Current AI models that fail to weight these specific parameters are leaving money on the table.

Conclusion:

This research titled 'Gender-Sensitive AI-Powered Risk Analysis for Women Entrepreneurs: A Study of the Pune Fintech Ecosystem' aimed to dissect the intersection of gender, finance, and artificial intelligence in one of India's most critical economic hubs. The investigation reveals that the "Gender Credit Gap" in Pune is not merely a capital availability problem—it is an Information Asymmetry problem that AI is uniquely positioned to solve, but only if it is designed with intentionality.

The findings suggest that the path to financial inclusion for women in Pune does not lie in simply "digitizing" the existing lending process, which inevitably digitizes existing biases. Instead, it requires a Gender-Sensitive AI framework. This framework must prioritize Explainability (telling women why a score is what it is) and Alternative Data Integration (valuing the metrics where women excel).

For the vision of "Viksit Bharat 2047" to be realized, the financial system

must stop viewing women entrepreneurs as "risky anomalies" and start viewing them as "untapped value." AI offers the lens to make this shift, but the lens itself must be polished to remove the scratches of historical bias.

Policy and Practice Recommendations:

For Policy Makers (RBI, Govt. of Maharashtra):

- Mandate Algorithmic Audits: Just as financial audits are mandatory, the regulator should require "Algorithmic Fairness Audits" for any fintech lender using ML for credit scoring, specifically checking for disparate impact on gender lines.
- Data Commons for Thin Files: Create a state-level "Alternative Data Commons" where women can voluntarily consent to share their utility, GST, and telecom data with a pool of verified lenders, standardizing the "Thin File" solution.

For Fintech Practitioners in Pune:

- Adopt "Explainable AI" (XAI): Move away from Black Box scores. Provide users with "Credit Improvement Pathways"—e.g., "If you pay your electricity bill on time for 3 more months, your limit increases by ₹50,000."
- Community-Led Distribution: Partner with MCCIA, TiE, and SHG federations. Use these trusted nodes to educate women on how the AI works, thereby reducing the Trust Deficit.

In conclusion, technology is a vector, not a solution. Its direction—whether towards greater exclusion or radical inclusion—depends on the values encoded into it. Pune's ecosystem has the components to build a model of Gender-Sensitive Fintech that could serve as a blueprint for the Global South.

References:

1. TiE Pune & Deloitte. (2024). TiE Women - Deloitte Report 2024: Pune Fintech Ecosystem and Women Entrepreneurs. TiE Global.
2. International Finance Corporation (IFC). (2024). New Report Urges Fintech Firms to Target Women Customers in Emerging Markets for Financial Inclusion. World Bank Group.
3. EY & DLAI. (2024). The Role of FinTech in Building Viksit Bharat. Ernst & Young.
4. Chiratae Ventures. (2024). Indian Enterprise Fintech Report 2024. Chiratae.
5. KPMG. (2024). Exploring India's Dynamic Start-up Ecosystem. KPMG Assurance and Consulting Services LLP.
6. de Castro Vieira, J. R., Barboza, F., Cajueiro, D., & Kimura, H. (2025). Towards Fair AI: Mitigating Bias in Credit Decisions—A Systematic Literature Review. Journal of Risk and Financial Management, 18(5), 228. https://doi.org/10.3390/jrfm18050228
7. Unknown Author. (2024). Fintech algorithms and gender equality in credit decisions. Applied Economics
8. Letters. https://doi.org/10.1080/15140326.2024.2324247

9. Laouenan, M., & Rathelot, R. (2022). The Effect of AI-Enabled Credit Scoring on Financial Inclusion. MIS Quarterly, 48(4).
10. Women's World Banking. (2021). Algorithmic Bias, Financial Inclusion, and Gender: A Primer. Women's World Banking Research.
11. Smith, G. (2025). Gender Bias in Machine Learning-based Alternative Lending. arXiv pre-print. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2504.07312
12. Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation (MoSPI). (2024). Annual Survey of Unincorporated Enterprises. Government of India.
13. Economic Survey of India. (2025). Women Entrepreneurs and MSME Ownership Trends. Government of India.
14. Jena, R. K. (2025). Factors Influencing the Adoption of FinTech for the Enhancement of Financial Inclusion in Rural India Using a Mixed Methods Approach. Journal of Risk and Financial Management, 18(3), 150. https://doi.org/10.3390/jrfm18030150
15. Venkatesh, V., Thong, J. Y., & Xu, X. (2012). Consumer acceptance and use of information technology: extending the unified theory of acceptance and use of technology. MIS Quarterly, 36(1), 157-178.
16. Cochran, W. G. (1977). Sampling Techniques (3rd ed.). John Wiley & Sons.
17. Mishra, D., Agarwal, N., Sharahiley, S., & Kandpal, V. (2024). Digital Financial Literacy and Its Impact on Financial Decision-Making of Women: Evidence from India. Journal of Risk and Financial Management, 17(10), 468. https://doi.org/10.3390/jrfm17100468
18. Galindo-Manrique, A. F., & Rojas-Vargas, N. P. (2025). The Role of Digital Financial Services in Narrowing the Gender Gap in Low–Middle-Income Economies: A Bayesian Machine Learning Approach. Risks, 13(5), 96. https://doi.org/10.3390/risks13050096
19. Maharashtra State Innovation Society (MSInS). (2024). Women Entrepreneurship Scorecard. Government of Maharashtra.
20. Mahratta Chamber of Commerce, Industries and Agriculture (MCCIA). (2024). Centre of Excellence for Women Entrepreneurs: Initiatives Report.
21. Grant Thornton. (2024). Tech-driven pathways to women's financial inclusion in India.